

Schultz, Daniel@Waterboards

From: Dee Andreini <dee@sonic.net>
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 12:46 PM
To: Schultz, Daniel@Waterboards; OAL Reference Attorney
Subject: emergency: Russian River Tributaries regulation, title 23, section 876

Begin forwarded message:

From: Albert Woicicki<dee@sonic.net>
Date: June 29, 2015 8:42:28 AM PDT
To: Pauline John Loades <pollyjohn@wildblue.net>
Subject: Russian River Tributaries regulation, title 23, section 876

Dear Daniel Schultz,
Dear reviewing attorney OAL

RE: State water board in opposition to governor Brown's proclamation.

I am hereby submitting to OAL and SWRCB the following arguments for consideration in connection with the regulation regarding: Emergency Action Due to Insufficient Flow for Specific Fisheries in the Tributaries of the Russian River, title 23.

You have told us over and over that this regulation is happening, because of an emergency. In Governor Brown's emergency drought proclamation signed in April, 2014, the 10th order states: "The Water Board will adopt statewide general waste discharge requirements to facilitate the use of treated waste water that meet standards set forth by the Department of Public Health in order to reduce the demand on potable water supplies". As part of our Basin Plan, tertiary treated waste water is used on grass, ornamentals, and golf courses. However, in this regulation, tertiary treated waste water can only be used by those who are commercial. You used the word economic in the letter you sent us, but we understand what you mean. In your regulation section (d)(1) it states; "The use of potable and non-potable water sourced from areas identified with subdivision (d)(3) for any of the following actions, except when necessary to address an immediate health and safety need or where used exclusively for irrigation for commercial agricultural use meeting the definition of Government code 51201, subdivision (b). Why is this in your regulation when recycle and reuse are the words of the day? And why would this only be for our small neighborhood, and not the rest of the state? How would this help the coho salmon in any way? Are we not trying to recycle? With our upcoming treatment plant

which is necessary to get this region out from under a cease and desist order, this regulation could stop that cold. We do not have many, if any, vintners running up to

use treated waste water on their grapes, certainly not 260 acres. But we can find 10 acres of ornamentals, lawns, landscaping and non-commercial pasture.

That is what we would need for the 20,000 gallons per day that Occidental produces. It may even reduce the rates for the rate payers.

This regulation must be rescinded.

Sincerely,
Albert J Woicicki