1 2 3 4 5	Michael A. Brodsky (SBN 219073) Law Offices of Michael A. Brodsky 201 Esplanade, Upper Suite Capitola, CA 95010 Telephone: (831) 469-3514 Facsimile: (831) 471-9705 Email: michael@brodskylaw.net	
6	Attorney for Protestants Save the California Delta Alliance, et al.	
7 8	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD	
9 10 11 12 13 14	IN RE CALIFORNIA WATERFIX CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES AND U.S. BUREAU OF RECLAMATION PETITION FOR CHANGES IN WATER RIGHTS, POINTS OF DIVERSION/RE-DIVERSION	PROTESTANT SAVE THE CALIFORNIA DELTA ALLIANCE, ET Al.'s MOTION TO ADOPT CROSS EXAMINATION PROCEDURE PROHIBITING WITNESSES FROM CONSULTING WITH COUNSEL DURING CROSS EXAMINATION
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

At the July 29, 2016, hearing, Hearing Officer Doduc requested that Save the California Delta Alliance, et al. ("Delta Alliance") submit, by noon on Monday, August 1, briefing on the procedural question raised by the undersigned at the hearing: that witnesses should be prohibited from consulting with counsel during the witness's cross-examination. Delta Alliance hereby submits its position on the question.

The procedure of prohibiting witnesses from consulting with counsel during cross-examination is intended to ensure the integrity of the cross-examination process. Importantly, the procedure may also be required to preserve the procedural due process right to effective cross-examination guaranteed by the California and United States Constitutions. These WaterFix proceedings present a situation in which due process requires that the procedure be employed to protect the rights of Protestants to effective cross-examination.

The procedure is well-accepted in California trial courts:

Witness may be prohibited from consulting with counsel during cross-examination: ... [T]he court may prohibit a witness from communicating with his or her attorney while being cross-examined (i.e. during recesses): On proper application, the witness can be isolated from opposing counsel until the completion of the cross-examination.

(California Practice Guide Civil Trials and Evidence (Rutter 2016) § 10:177, citations and quotation marks omitted.)

Federal courts also commonly apply the rule:

It is a common practice for a judge to instruct a witness not to discuss his or her testimony with third parties until the trial is completed. ... cross-examination is more likely to elicit truthful responses if it goes forward without allowing the witness an opportunity to consult with third parties, including his lawyer.

(*Perry v. Leeke* (1989) 488 U.S. 272, 281–282; *see also* Federal Civil Trials and Evidence (Rutter 2016) § 10:299 ["[T]he court may prohibit a witness from communicating with his or her attorney while being cross-examined—i.e., during recess."])

The procedure is required here because the Board has already given Petitioners an advantage by allowing the "panel approach." Petitioners' witnesses will be cross-examined in panels. This raises the danger that a witness faltering on cross-examination can throw a "life line" to a fellow panel member, and that person can step in and "rescue" the witness from what would otherwise be

¹ Delta Alliance does not mean to suggest that the Board intended any favoritism to the Petitioners and understands the efficiency considerations of the panel approach. Nevertheless, the danger of misuse remains.

STATEMENT OF SERVICE

CALIFORNIA WATERFIX PETITION HEARING Department of Water Resources and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Petitioners)

I hereby certify that I have this day submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board and caused a true and correct copy of the following document(s):

PROTESTANT SAVE THE CALIFORNIA DELTA ALLIANCE, ET AI.'S MOTION TO ADOPT CROSS EXAMINATION PROCEDURE PROHIBITING WITNESSES FROM CONSULTING WITH COUNSEL DURING CROSS EXAMINATION

to be served **by Electronic Mail** (email) upon the parties listed in Table 1 of the **Current Service List** for the California WaterFix Petition Hearing, dated July 25, 2016, posted by the State Water Resources Control Board at

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/service_list.shtml

I certify that the foregoing is true and correct and that this document was executed on August 1, 2016.

Signature:

Name: Michael A. Brodsky

Title: Attorney

Party/Affiliation:

Save the California Delta Alliance, et al.

Address:

Law Offices of Michael A. Brodsky 201 Esplanade, Upper Suite Capitola, CA 95010