SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN

The City of Stockton's testimony today will demonstrate that the proposed Petition for Change is not in the public interest. Approval of the petition will have significant unmitigated financial, operational and environmental impacts on the City of Stockton (City). Stockton presented evidence in Part 1 about the impacts that the WaterFix project would cause to its water supply; today the City will present additional evidence of the significant economic and environmental harm that would be caused if the Board were to approve the WaterFix change petition.

The City made significant investments in developing its In-Delta municipal water supply in order to secure a reliable water supply, and reduce dependence on groundwater in a critically overdrafted basin. The WaterFix Project threatens to degrade San Joaquin River water quality to the extent that the City would be required to either make additional major investments to upgrade its water treatment facilities or purchase alternative water supplies at times when the WaterFix operations render the source water unusable to the City. In either case, the City's residents, many of whom are economically disadvantaged, would bear the financial burden of the costs associated with the WaterFix Project impacts. The need for alternative water supplies may also result in significant environmental impacts that the Petitioners have not acknowledged or mitigated.

The City discharges treated effluent from its Regional Wastewater Control Facility under a NPDES Permit that was subject to significant scrutiny by proponents of the WaterFix. Delta water quality degradation caused by the WaterFix Project threatens to make it more difficult for the City to comply with its NPDES Permit and increase the cost of doing so. This, in turn, could further compromise the security of the City's water supply because the City's ability to divert San Joaquin River water is directly linked to the City's ability to discharge from its Regional Wastewater Control Facility.

For these reasons, the Petition for Change is not in the public interest and should be denied.

The witnesses who will testify today are the persons with the most knowledge and expertise concerning the City's municipal utilities, and we thank the State Water Board for considering this testimony.

SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN

DATED: December 18, 2017

By Kelley M. Taber

Attorneys for City of Stockton

## 

## STATEMENT OF SERVICE

## CALIFORNIA WATERFIX PETITION HEARING Department of Water Resources and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Petitioners)

I hereby certify that I have this day submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board and caused a true and correct copy of the following document(s):

## CITY OF STOCKTON PART 2 OPENING STATEMENT

to be served by Electronic Mail (email) upon the parties listed in Table 1 of the Current
Service List for the California WaterFix Petition hearing, dated December 6, 2017,
posted by the State Water Resources Control Board at
http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california

waterfix/service list.shtml:

I certify that the foregoing is true and correct and that this document was executed on December 18, 2017.

Signature:

Name: Michelle Bracha Title: Legal Secretary
Party/Affiliation: City of Stockton

Address: 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000

Sacramento, CA 95814