John Kopchik Director

Department of Conservation and Development

Water Agency

30 Muir Road Martinez, CA 94553

Phone: 925-674-7824

April 11, 2018





Mr. Tripp Mizell Senior Attorney, Office of the Chief Counsel California Department of Water Resources 1416 Ninth Street, P.O. Box 942836 Sacramento, CA 94236-0001

Submitted via email

Re: DWR request for Contra Costa County-Solano County calculations

Dear Mr. Mizell:

During the California Department of Water Resources' (DWR) cross-examination of our expert witness, Dr. Richard Denton, on March 26, 2018, DWR requested that Contra Costa County and Solano County make available the spreadsheets of conversion calculations used to prepare the CCC-SC Exhibits for Part 2 of the California WaterFix Change Petition Hearing before the State Water Resources Control Board.

The requested spreadsheets are attached. They are the Excel spreadsheets used to calculate the conversion from specific electrical conductance (EC) to chloride concentration. The converted data in the attached spreadsheets were utilized in preparing CCC-SC 28 figures 1 through 5, and are referenced in Dr. Denton's written testimony, CCC-SC-3, at pages 29 through 33.

Dr. Denton utilized the following equations to make the attached calculations:

The calculation for converting from EC to chloride concentration in the vicinity of Old River at Bacon Island used the linear seawater intrusion equation in Exhibit DWR-509:

EC to Cl (μ S/cm to mg/L)

C1 = 0.285 EC - 50

When seawater intrusion is very small, agricultural drainage dominates in the central and south Delta (i.e., when EC is less than $280\mu\text{S/cm}$) and the chloride concentration is calculated using the agricultural drainage equation in DWR-509:

EC to Cl (μ S/cm to mg/L)

C1 = 0.15 EC - 12

According to Dr. Denton, the equations above were calibrated over the historical range of EC and chloride concentrations in the vicinity of Old River at Bacon Island and the Intake to the

Contra Costa Canal at Pumping Plant No. 1, so they only apply for EC less than 1,200 μ S/cm, approximately. For larger EC, such as the 2,781 μ S/cm example given in CCC-SC-28 (Figure 5), a quadratic equation, such as the Mallard Island equation in DWR-509, is more appropriate and would normally be used. Using a linear equation underestimates the chloride concentration.

If you have any questions related to this response to your March 26, 2018 request, please contact Deputy County Counsel Kurtis Keller at (925) 335-1822.

Sincerely,

Ryan Hernandez

Contra Costa County Water Agency

On behalf of Contra Costa County, Contra Costa County Water Agency and Solano County

cc:

Electronic Service

CWF Service List as of March 26, 2018

DWR-509 Linear Fits Central and South Delta

 Seawater
 Ag Drainage

 0.285
 0.15

 -50
 -12

EC	Sea CI	SJR Ag CI
0		
50		
100		3
110		5
120		6
130		8
140		9
150		11
160		12
180		15
200		18
250	21	26
260	24	27
270 281.5	27 30.2	29 30.2
300	30.2	30.2
350	50	33 41
400	64	48
450	78	56
500	93	63
550	107	71
600	121	78
650	135	86
700	150	93
750	164	101
800	178	108
850	192	
900	207	
950	221	
1,000	235	
1,050	249	
1,100	264	
1,150	278	
1,200	292	
1,250	306	

Quadratic EC to Chloride Fit for Seawater

DWR-509

Denton, Feb
2016

2.50E-06 2.90E-06 0.294 0.285 -50 -50

EC	Mallard CI	Mallard CI
0		
50		
100		
110		
120		
130		
140		
150		
160		
180 200		
250	24	21
260	27	24
270	30	27
281.5	33.0	30.5
300	38	36
350	53	50
400	68	64
450	83	79
500	98	93
550	112	108
600	127	122
650 700	142 157	136 151
750	172	165
800	187	180
850	202	194
900	217	209
950	232	223
1,000	247	238
1,050	261	252
1,100	276	267
1,150	291 306	282 296
1,200 1,250	321	311
1,300	336	325
1,350	351	340
1,400	367	355
1,500	397	384
1,600	427	413
1,700	457	443
1,800	487	472
1,900	518	502
2,000	548 609	532 501
2,200 2,400	609 670	591 651
2,600	731	711
2,781	787	765
3,000	855	831
4,000	1,166	1,136
5,000	1,483	1,448
6,000	1,804	1,764
8,000	2,462	2,416
10,000	3,140	3,090
12,000	3,838 4,556	3,788 4,508
14,000 16,000	4,556 5,294	4,508 5,252
18,000	6,052	6,020
19,000	6,439	6,412

http://www.baydeltalive.com/assets/588ee18bdb51ef1619ac6fd28b97f694/application/pdf/Denton 2015 Delta Salinity Constituents Report.pdf

Delta Salinity Constituent Analysis Richard A. Denton Richard Denton & Associates Oakland, California

Prepared for the State Water Project Contractors Authority February 2016

Conversion from EC to CI using DWR-509 Linear Fits

Ag CI

Sea CI (mg/L)

EC (µS/cm)

		5				
0.285				0.285		
-50				-50		
0.15				0.15		
-12				-12		
Max of Sea				Max of Sea and		
and Ag Cl				Ag Cl		
CI (mg/L)	Sea EC (µS/cm)		EC	Sea CI	Sea CI	SJR Ag CI
100	526		0			
150	702		50			
250	1,053		100	3		3
	.,000		110	5		5
	0.285		120	6		6
	-50		130	8		8
	0.15		140	9		9
	-12		150	11		11
	Max of Sea and					
	Ag Cl		160	12		12
EC (µS/cm)	Sea CI (mg/L)		180	15		15
2,781	743		200	18	7	18
2,701	740		250	26	, 21	26
526	100		260	27	24	27
702	150		270	29	27	29
1,053	250		270 281.5	30.2	30.2	30.2
1,000	250		300	30.2 36	30.2 36	30.2
Changes in I	EC and Chlorida		350 350		50 50	33 41
Changes in E	EC and Chloride		400	50 64	64	41 48
For CCC-SC-2	9 Eiguro 1		400 450	78	78	40 56
F01 CCC-3C-2	o, rigule i		500	93	93	63
OR Bacon Ma	rch 16-vr Ava		550	107	107	71
338	46	NAA	600	121	121	71 78
366	5 4	BA PA	650	135	135	86
28	8	Inc.	700	150	150	93
20	O	IIIC.				
OR Bacon Ma	wah 92 uw Ava		750	164 478	164 178	101 108
304	37	NAA	800 850	178	178	106
401	64	BA PA	900	192 207	207	
97	28	Inc.	950	221	221	
			1,000	235	235	
CCC	:		1,050	249	249	
CCC-SC-28, F			1,100	264	264	
•	•	·o	1,150	278 292	278 292	
BA PA and BA	A NAA on 2/26/195	σ	1,200			
	0.285		1,250	306	306	
	-50					
	0.15					
	-12					
	Max of Sea and					
	iviax of Sea and					

363	53	NAA	
943	219	PA	
<i>580</i>	165	Inc.	