BEFORE THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY REQUESTING SUBPOENA (name, address, and telephone no.): TIM O'LAUGHLIN (SBN 116807) TIMOTHY J. WASIEWSKI (SBN 302306) O'LAUGHLIN & PARIS LLP 2617 K STREET, SUITE 100 SACRAMENTO, CA 95816 (916) 993-3962 REPRESENTING: SAN JOAQUIN TRIBUTARIES AUTHORITY TITLE OF THE PROCEEDING: California WaterFix Change Petition Hearing **✓** SUBPOENA **✓** RE HEARING RE DEPOSITION SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, TO (name): ROBERT F. VINCIK, Staff - California Department of Fish & Wildlife YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR AS A WITNESS in this proceeding as follows unless you make special agreement with the person named in item 3: Date: August 2, 2018* Time: 9:30 a.m. Address: Joe Serna Jr. - CalEPA Building b. 1001 I Street. Second Floor. Sacramento CA 95814 AND YOU ARE: a. Ordered to appear in person. (Wat. Code, § 1080; Gov. Code, § 11450.10; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, § 649.6(a).) b. Not required to appear in person if you produce the records described in the accompanying affidavit in compliance with Evidence Code sections 1560 and 1561. (Wat. Code, § 1080; Gov. Code, § 11450.10(b); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, § 649.6(a).) c. Ordered to appear in person and to produce the records described in the accompanying affidavit. The personal attendance of the custodian or other qualified witness and the production of the original records is required by this subpoena. The procedure authorized by subdivision (b) of section 1560, and sections 1561 and 1562, of the Evidence Code will not be deemed sufficient compliance with this subpoena. (Wat. Code, § 1080; Gov. Code, § 11450.10; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, § 649.6(a).) IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT WITNESS FEES OR THE TIME OR DATE FOR YOU TO APPEAR. OR IF YOU WANT TO BE CERTAIN THAT YOUR PRESENCE IS REQUIRED, CONTACT THE FOLLOWING PERSON BEFORE THE DATE ON WHICH YOU ARE TO APPEAR: * Contact the issuing attorney below to confirm a mutually agreeable time and date for your scheduled appearance. b. Telephone number: 916-993-3962 Name: Tim O'Laughlin (Gov. Code. § 11450.20(a): Code Civ. Proc.. § 1985.2.) WITNESS FEES: You are entitled to witness fees and mileage actually traveled, both ways, as provided by law. Request them from the person who serves this subpoena or from the person named in item 3. (Wat. Code, §§ 1081, 1083, 1084; Gov. Code, §§ 11450.40, 68070 et seq.; Code Civ. Proc., §§ 1986.5, 2065.) If you object to the terms of this subpoena, you may file a motion for a protective order including a motion to quash with the hearing officer assigned to your case. Motions must be made within a reasonable period after receipt of the subpoena, and shall be made with written notice to all parties, with proof of service upon all parties attached. In response to your motion, the hearing officer may make an order quashing the subpoena entirely, modifying it, or directing compliance with it, or may make any order needed to protect the parties or witnesses from unreasonable or oppressive demands, including unreasonable violations of the right to privacy. (Gov. Code, § 11450.30.) (Send motions to: The State Water Resources Control Board, Office of Chief Counsel, P.O. Box 100, Sacramento, CA 95812-0100.) DISOBEDIENCE OF THIS SUBPOENA MAY CAUSE YOU TO BE LIABLE FOR CONTEMPT AND OTHER PENALTIES PROVIDED BY LAW (Wat. Code, §§ 1090-1097; Gov. Code, §§ 11450.20(b), 11455.10-11455.20.)

Dated: July 10, 2018



Name: TIM O'LAUGHLIN

Title: Attorney for SJTA

Unless issued by an attorney pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 1985, subdivision (c), the original subpoena is embossed with this seal. (See reverse for Endorsement on Subpoena, if used, and Proof of Service)

(signature)

PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUBPOENA

(Gov. Code, § 11440.20; Code Civ. Proc., §§ 1987, 1987.5, 1988, 1989, 2015.3, 2015.5.)

1. I served this 🗹 subpoena 🔙 subpoena	duces tecum and supporting affidavit by: See	ee attached Statement of Service
personally delivering a copy to the per	rson served as follows:	
a. Person served (name):		b. Date of delivery:
c. Address where served:		d. Time of delivery:
e. Witness fees and mileage both ways (check of	one):	f. Fees for service.
(1) were paid. Amount: \$		Amount: \$
(2) were not paid. (3) were tendered to the witness' Government Code § 68097.2 The amount tendered was \$_		
	fied mail, return receipt requested, to the addre d in a sealed envelope to a messenger for imm	
Address where served:		
2. I certify that I received this 🗸 subpoena	subpoena duces tecum for service on	
		Date
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of Date	f the State of California that the foregoing is true ar at (place)	d correct and that this declaration is executed on: Signature
	, California	
(For California sheriff, marshal, or constable use of I certify that the foregoing is true and correct and the Date		Signature
	, camorna	
NOTE: IF THIS SUBPOENA IS ISSUED IN CONNECTION WITH A HEARING IN AN ADJUDICATIVE PROCEEDING UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE § 11400 ET SEQ., THE ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT AN ATTORNEY REQUESTING THIS SUBPOENA MUST PROVIDE A COPY OF THE SUBPOENA TO EVERY PARTY IN THE HEARING, AND FILE A COPY WITH THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD. THE COPY PROVIDED TO THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY A CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE LISTING THE NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF PARTIES WHO WERE PROVIDED COPIES IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT CODE § 11440.20. (Gov. Code, § 11440.20; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, § 648.4(c).) (Send to: The State Water Resources Control Board, Office of Chief Counsel, P.O. Box 100, Sacramento, CA 95812-0100.)		
ENDORSEMENT ON SUBPOENA IN A PROCEEDING		
OTHER THAN AN ADJUDICATIVE PROCEEDING Pursuant to Water Code §1086 and upon affidavit of (copy attached) showing that the testimony of the witness ordered by the subpoena to appear is material and necessary to this proceeding, it is required that said witness attend this proceeding.		
Dated:		(signature)
	Name [.]	(signature)
	State Water Resources	

NOTE: This ENDORSEMENT is required if the subpoena is in connection with a proceeding other than a hearing under Government Code § 11400 and the witness is being compelled to testify at a location that is both out of the witness's county of residence and 150 miles or more from the witness's place of residence. (Wat. Code, § 1086; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, § 649.6(c).)

1	Tim O'Laughlin (SBN 116807) Valerie C. Kincaid (SBN 231815)		
2	Timothy J. Wasiewski (SBN 302306) O'LAUGHLIN & PARIS LLP		
3	2617 K. Street, Suite 100 Sacramento, California 95816		
4	Telephone: (916) 993-3962 Facsimile: (916) 264-2040		
5	Email: towater@olaughlinparis.com vkincaid@olaughlinparis.com		
6	tw@olaughlinparis.com		
7	Attorneys for SAN JOAQUIN TRIBUTARIES AUTHORITY		
8			
9			
10	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD		
11	IN THE MATTER OF		
12	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER AFFIDAVIT OF TIM O'LAUGHLIN IN		
13	RESOURCES AND UNITED STATES BUREAU OF RECLAMATION PETITION SUPPORT OF SAN JOAQUIN TRIBUTARIES AUTHORITY'S SUBPOENA		
14	FOR WATER RIGHT CHANGE RE: CALIFORNIA WATERFIX. FOR PERSONAL APPEARANCE OF ROBERT F. VINCIK OF THE CALIFORNIA		
15	DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE		
16)		
17			
18			
19	I, Tim O'Laughlin, declare as follows:		
20	I am an attorney of record for SAN JOAQUIN TRIBUTARIES AUTHORITY		
21	("SJTA") in this proceeding. The SJTA is a joint powers authority consisting of Modesto Irrigation		
22	District, Oakdale Irrigation District, South San Joaquin Irrigation District, Turlock Irrigation		
23	District, and the City and County of San Francisco, and forms Group 18 of Protestants in this		
24	proceeding. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in the Affidavit and, if called as a		
25	witness, would testify to those facts.		
26	2. One of the issues addressed in Part 2 of this proceeding is the appropriate Delta flow		
27	criteria that should be included in any approval of the WaterFix change petition. What constitutes		
28	appropriate Delta flow criteria is tied to, among other things, the Delta Reform Act of 2009, the		

AFFIDAVIT OF TIM O'LAUGHLIN IN SUPPORT OF SJTA'S SUBPOENA FOR PERSONAL APPEARANCE

1	State Water Resources Control Board's ("SWRCB" or "Board") 2010 report on the "Development
2	of Flow Criteria for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Ecosystem" ("2010 Delta Flow Criteria
3	Report" or "DFCR"), and the various exhibits relied on by the Board in drafting the 2010 Delta
4	Flow Criteria Report, including a report from the California Department of Fish and Game
5	("CDFG") entitled "Flows Needed in the Delta to Restore Anadromous Salmonid Passage from the
6	San Joaquin River at Vernalis to Chipps Island," identified throughout the DFCR (and herein) as
7	"DFG Exhibit 3."
8	3. The State Water Board is required by the Delta Reform Act of 2009 to consider the
9	DFCR when determining what constitutes "appropriate Delta flow criteria" for any approval of the
10	WaterFix project. (Wat. Code, § 85086[c][2].)
11	4. The DFCR was entered into evidence in the WaterFix proceeding (marked as Exhibit
12	No. SWRCB-25) and is part of the administrative record for this proceeding. (SWRCB Ruling,
13	dated February 21, 2017.)
14	5. In written testimony submitted to the Board, numerous witnesses relied upon and
15	endorsed the DFCR and DFG Exhibit 3 findings and advised the Board to adopt the recommended
16	flow criteria therein. (See e.g., Bill Jennings Testimony [Exhibit No. CSPA-200-Corrected]; Chris
17	Shutes Testimony [Exhibit No. CSPA-202-errata].)
18	6. Likewise, numerous witnesses - on direct and cross-examination during Part 2 of the
19	WaterFix proceeding - relied upon and endorsed the DFCR and DFG Exhibit 3 findings and advised
20	the Board to adopt the recommended flow criteria therein. (See e.g., Oral Testimony of Dr.
21	Jonathan Rosenfield, [April 23, 2018]; Oral Testimony of Bill Jennings [March 27, 2018]; Oral
22	Testimony of Chris Shutes [March 27, 2018]; Oral Testimony of Dr. Richard Denton [March 26,
23	2018].)
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

- 7. The SJTA is subpoening Robert F. Vincik, a former Environmental Scientist with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, because he is associated with CDFG's submittal of Exhibit 3 in the 2010 DFCR informational proceeding. ¹ Further, according to Mr. Vincik's Statement of Qualifications, he possesses expertise related to assessments on Sacramento and San Joaquin Delta fish species. ²
- 8. Good cause exists for Mr. Vincik's testimony because, as discussed above, the DFCR repeatedly cites to DFG Exhibit 3, authored by the (then) California Department of Fish and Game. This exhibit is cited for, among other things, the proposition that DFG's analysis concluded that higher flows from the San Joaquin tributaries resulted in more juvenile salmon leaving the tributaries, more salmon successfully migrating to the South Delta, and more juvenile salmon surviving through the Delta.
- 9. Additionally, the DFCR cites to DFG Exhibit 3 for the proposition that increased spring flows lead to increased smolt survival which then leads to a subsequent substantial increase in adult abundance. Further, the DFCR extensively discusses DFG's development of flow recommendations for the San Joaquin River from March 15 through June 15 to double Chinook salmon smolt production and, ultimately, bases its flow recommendations on those proposed in DFG Exhibit 3.
- 10. Based on his expertise and association with DFG Exhibit 3, Mr. Vincik's testimony will be material and necessary to this proceeding, specifically to the issue of DFG Exhibit 3's flow recommendations. As a contributor to DFG Exhibit 3, he is in a unique position to provide information regarding the preparation and recommendations in DFG Exhibit 3, and can answer

²⁵ Mr. Titus's name appears on the CDFG's 2010 Delta Flow Criteria informational proceeding "Witness Identification List" and "Revised Witness Identification List" for expertise related to anadromous fish – which is what Exhibit 3 addresses (See "Witness Identification List" and "Revised Witness Identification List" at:

https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/deltaflow/dfg.shtml

² As of July 6, 2018, a copy of Mr. Vincik's Statement of Qualifications appears on the State Water Resources Control Board's official website (available at: https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water-issues/programs/bay-delta/deltaflow/docs/exhibits/dfg/dfg-exh16.p-df)

1	questions pertaining to the assumptions, limitations, analyses, findings and conclusions in the
2	report.
3	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing
4	is true and correct.
5	Executed on July 10, 2018, at Sacramento, California.
6	
7	3. 0.Z.
8	By:TIM O'LAUGHLIN
9	Thy o LACOILLIN
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28