

1 Sharon L. Anderson (SBN 94814)  
County Counsel  
2 Stephen M. Siptroth (SBN 252792)  
Deputy County Counsel  
3 Kurtis C. Keller (SBN 287724)  
Deputy County Counsel  
4 CONTRA COSTA COUNTY  
5 651 Pine Street, 9th Floor  
6 Martinez, California 94553  
Telephone: (925) 335-1800  
7 Facsimile: (925) 646-1078  
8 Emails: [stephen.siptroth@cc.cccounty.us](mailto:stephen.siptroth@cc.cccounty.us); [kurtis.keller@cc.cccounty.us](mailto:kurtis.keller@cc.cccounty.us);  
[ryan.hernandez@dcd.cccounty.us](mailto:ryan.hernandez@dcd.cccounty.us)

9 Attorneys for Contra Costa County and Contra Costa County Water Agency

10 Dennis Bunting (SBN 55499)  
County Counsel  
11 Daniel M. Wolk (SBN 238349)  
Deputy County Counsel  
12 SOLANO COUNTY  
13 675 Texas Street, Suite 6600  
14 Fairfield, California 94533  
15 Telephone: (707) 784-6150  
16 Facsimile: (707) 784-6862  
Email: [dwolk@solanocounty.com](mailto:dwolk@solanocounty.com)

17 Attorneys for Solano County

18  
19 BEFORE THE  
20 CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

21 HEARING IN THE MATTER OF  
22 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER  
RESOURCES AND UNITED STATES  
23 BUREAU OF RECLAMATION REQUEST  
FOR A CHANGE IN POINT OF  
24 DIVERSION FOR CALIFORNIA  
25 WATERFIX

NOTICE OF JOINDER AND JOINDER  
OF CONTRA COSTA COUNTY,  
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY WATER  
AGENCY, AND SOLANO COUNTY TO  
THE MOTION TO CONTINUE –  
MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE OF  
PART 2 AND RECONSIDERATION OF  
REOPENING OF PART 1 FILED BY  
CITY OF ANTIOCH


1 Please take notice that Contra Costa County, Contra Costa County Water  
2 Agency, and Solano County ("Counties") hereby join in the Motion to Continue – Motion  
3 for Continuance of [Part] 2 and Reconsideration of Reopening of Part 1 filed by the City  
4 of Antioch on January 26, 2018 ("Motion to Continue"). The Counties incorporate by  
5 reference the Motion to Continue as though fully set forth herein.

6 The Counties agree with Antioch's Motion to Continue that the Petitioners'  
7 proposed project for Part 2 (CWF H3+) is a significant departure from the version of the  
8 project analyzed in Part 1 (Alternative 4A, Scenarios H3 and H4). CWF H3+ would  
9 result in greater south-of-Delta exports during October (and other months), and less  
10 exports in April and May, substantially impacting water quality. Furthermore, as we  
11 understand from press reports, Petitioners are now contemplating a phased project  
12 involving, initially, the construction of a single tunnel, which warrants further analysis.  
13 Due process, Water Code § 1701 *et seq.*, and a basic notion of fairness demand that  
14 Part 2 be continued and Part 1 reopened.

15  
16 Respectfully submitted,

17 Dated: January 21, 2018

DENNIS BUNTING  
County Counsel, Solano County

19  
20 By:   
Daniel M. Wolk  
Deputy County Counsel

21  
22 Dated: January 29, 2018

SHARON L. ANDERSON  
County Counsel, Contra Costa County

23  
24 By:   
Kurtis C. Keller  
Deputy County Counsel