1	BEFORE THE
2	CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
3	
4	CALIFORNIA WATERFIX WATER) RIGHT CHANGE PETITION)
5	HEARING)
6	
7	JOE SERNA, JR. BUILDING
8	CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
9	BYRON SHER AUDITORIUM
10	1001 I STREET
11	SECOND FLOOR
12	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
13	
14	PART 1 - REBUTTAL
15	
16	Tuesday, May 9, 2017
17	9:30 A.M.
18	
19	Volume 41
20	Pages 1 - 279
21	
22	
23	Reported By: Candace Yount, CSR No. 2737, RMR, CCRR Certified Realtime Reporter
24	
25	Computerized Transcription By Eclipse

All of my questions will be for Mr. Leahigh and 1 2 all of my questions involve the exhibits that were provided yesterday afternoon, which I think we're okay to 3 refer to as DWR Exhibits 903, 904, 905 and 906. And I will be referencing those exhibits as well as the charts 5 in DWR-10 which the exhibits relate to. 6 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY So, Mr. Leahigh, we'll get MS. SPALETTA: 8 9 started by my asking you some basic questions. Tim O'Laughlin went over Exhibit 905 with you 10 11 in detail, which is the data for DWR Exhibits 850 and 851 for the year 2015. And during that discussion, you 12 13 explained the columns on that exhibit and what they 14 meant. My first question to you is whether the 15 explanation you gave for the columns on Exhibit 905 would 16 17 be the same for Exhibits 903 and 904? 18 WITNESS LEAHIGH: Yes, they should be. 19 MS. SPALETTA: Okay. And who prepared Exhibits 903, 904, 905? 20 21 WITNESS LEAHIGH: So that was my staff prepared. This was the data that my staff used to 22 23 prepare the graphs. MS. SPALETTA: Who on your staff? 24 25 WITNESS LEAHIGH: Aaron Miller.

1	MS. SPALETTA: And when did Is Aaron a man
2	or a woman?
3	WITNESS LEAHIGH: Aaron is a man.
4	MS. SPALETTA: When did Mr. Miller prepare the
5	datasets that are in Exhibits 903, 904, 905?
6	WITNESS LEAHIGH: Well, just to be clear:
7	So the the data was what Aaron used to
8	prepare the graphs that were the exhibits in my
9	PowerPoint.
10	MS. SPALETTA: So Aaron Miller on your staff
11	prepared the graphs that were in DWR Exhibit 10, your
12	PowerPoint, on Pages 6, 8, 10 and 12?
13	WITNESS LEAHIGH: Correct.
14	MS. SPALETTA: Okay. And did Mr. Miller also
15	prepare the charts of data that are Exhibits 903, 904,
16	905 and 906?
17	WITNESS LEAHIGH: I I actually prepared the
18	charts that were I'm sorry. The Are you talking
19	about the tables?
20	MS. SPALETTA: We can call them tables. That's
21	okay.
22	WITNESS LEAHIGH: The tables of data, that was
23	from the sources that or from of what Aaron used.
24	Because Aaron has been he's temporarily on assignment
25	elsewhere, I did prepare those tables.

1	MS. SPALETTA: Okay. And when did you prepare
2	the tables that are 903, 904, 905 and 906?
3	WITNESS LEAHIGH: This weekend.
4	MS. SPALETTA: Okay. And was that before or
5	after Aaron had prepared the charts that appeared in
6	DWR-10?
7	WITNESS LEAHIGH: It was after.
8	MS. SPALETTA: Okay. So where is the data that
9	Aaron used to prepare the charts that are in DWR-10 on
10	Pages 6, 8, 10 and 12?
11	THE WITNESS: Well
12	MS. McGINNIS: Objection: Relevance.
13	It doesn't really matter where the data is.
14	Miss Spaletta asked for the data; we've provided the
15	data. It's in the tables we provided.
16	I don't understand where she's going with this.
17	CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: Miss Spaletta.
18	MS. SPALETTA: Well, it's unusual for a set of
19	data to be compiled after an exhibit that purportedly
20	represents the data.
21	So I'd like to know where the data is that was
22	used by Mr. Miller to prepare the exhibits and the charts
23	that we saw in DWR-10. It may be that there's some
24	master set of data that was used for both but I'm just
25	trying to understand that.

```
1
                CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: I'll give you that
 2
      limited leeway.
                WITNESS LEAHIGH: Well, the data is in a
 3
 4
      spreadsheet, and this is the data from that spreadsheet.
 5
                MS. SPALETTA: Okay. So there is a -- like, a
     master spreadsheet that you used to pull data out of to
 6
     create DWR-903, 904, 905 and 906?
 7
                WITNESS LEAHIGH: Correct.
 8
 9
                MS. McGINNIS: Objection: Again, relevance.
                I don't think it matters where the data is.
10
      She asked for the data; we gave the data. So --
11
12
                CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: And it was the same
13
      data that was used to generate the graphs --
                WITNESS LEAHIGH:
14
                                  Yes.
                CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: -- initially.
15
                WITNESS LEAHIGH: Yes.
16
17
                CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC:
                                           Okay.
18
                MS. SPALETTA: And the master spreadsheet that
      you just referred to, does it contain additional
19
      information other than the information that has been
20
21
      pulled out and used to create the tables?
22
                CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: Now you may object.
23
                MS. McGINNIS:
                               Yeah. Objection: It's not --
24
      He never said it was a master spreadsheet.
25
                MS. SPALETTA: So this raises a very
```

interesting question, which is, is there something that DWR needs to hide from the rest of us?

2.2

I just have this strange feeling we're talking about a public agency who has data that they've gathered about the operation of a public project permitted by this agency, and we're seeing graphs of this data compiled from supposedly a master spreadsheet which has not been made available to the rest of the parties.

So I think it's a valid question where this master spreadsheet is, what format it's in, and what other data may be included.

CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: Now, Miss Spaletta, the data was provided to support Mr. Leahigh's testimony that was submitted as part of his rebuttal. And so to the extent that this spreadsheet contains other data that is not part of his rebuttal, it would be outside the scope for your cross-examination.

MS. SPALETTA: I strongly disagree, because we have no idea what that other data is. It may be highly relevant to the data that he chose to put in the spreadsheet. There may be other data on the spreadsheet that would make the data that he chose to put in the spreadsheet be viewed in a different light, but because the rest of the parties don't have access to it, we don't have a fair opportunity to make that determination.

```
1
                CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: Your response?
 2
               MS. McGINNIS: This is entirely outside the
     scope of Mr. Leahigh's rebuttal.
 3
               We included these charts in his test -- He
 4
     included these charts in the testimony. They are --
 5
     They're generated by Excel; they pull from different
 6
 7
     worksheets.
               The data that was requested was provided, and
 8
     if Protestants have a theory that there is some other
 9
     information that they should be entitled to, then they
10
11
     can ask for that.
               MS. SPALETTA: Well, then, I'll make a formal
12
      request, and it's going to be multiple part:
13
               Number one, I would request that the
14
     information that's been provided in DWR-903, 904, 905 and
15
     906 be provided in its native format, which I believe is
16
     probably Excel, so that the parties to this proceeding
17
18
     can actually view the data in something other than what
     is less than 10-point font and do the addition and
19
     subtraction and see the formulas in the spreadsheet.
20
     That's the first request.
21
               And the second request is that if there is a
2.2
     master spreadsheet that this data was pulled from, that
23
     also be produced to the parties in its native format.
24
25
                CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: And --
```

1	MS. McGINNIS: My response is: What is the
2	authority for this information request? Is it a
3	discovery request, a PRA request?
4	MS. SPALETTA: It could be both. I don't think
5	that it really matters.
6	I think the Hearing Officers have the authority
7	to ask DWR to make the production if for no other reason
8	it's in the public interest and it makes the hearing
9	transparent.
10	MS. McGINNIS: And my response is, it actually
11	confuses issues.
12	We have provided the data that backs up those
13	charts. They're in Mr. Leahigh's testimony. That is the
14	scope of his testimony and this request is outside the
15	scope.
16	CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: We'll take that
17	under consideration.
18	MS. SPALETTA: All right. So, then, I will do
19	
	my best to ask questions about the information that is in
20	my best to ask questions about the information that is in these exhibits.
20 21	
	these exhibits.
21	these exhibits. I will note that I probably would not have had
21 22	these exhibits. I will note that I probably would not have had to ask a lot of these questions if I'd actually received

Mr. Leahigh, can you please identify for me 1 2 which columns on the table in DWR-903 contain measured data as opposed to computed data. 3 (Document displayed on screen.) 5 WITNESS LEAHIGH: (Examining document.) Well, the -- certainly total Oroville releases, 6 State Water Project exports. The -- So the breakdown of 7 the instream requirements, those are manually input but 8 9 they're input based on our requirements. The Feather River service area deliveries is 10 measured data. I think the others -- I think the other 11 12 columns are probably a result of a calculation, but it's 13 hard to tell just sitting here right now exactly. 14 MS. SPALETTA: Okay. So you're not able to explain to me what the calculation is, then, to get each 15 of the other columns as we sit here today? 16 17 WITNESS LEAHIGH: Well, if you want -- if you 18 want to go through it, we can make an attempt. MS. SPALETTA: Well, I don't want to do 19 20 something that's futile. Are you confident in your 21 ability to explain how each of the columns other than the three columns you identified as measured, how each of the 2.2 other columns is computed? 23 WITNESS LEAHIGH: I'd have to think through it 24 25 a bit but, yeah, we could probably do it.

Okay. Well, let's start with --1 MS. SPALETTA: 2 Maybe I can make this easy for you. For the total Oroville release which is a 3 measured number, is there some combination of the other 4 columns that should add up to that measured number? 5 MR. BERLINER: I'm going to object to this line 6 7 of questioning. We've been through this chart already guite 8 extensively through Mr. O'Laughlin. 9 If there's a point that Miss Spaletta wants to 10 get to, why don't we find out what the point is and see 11 12 if all of this tedious analysis of this spreadsheet has 13 any relevance here at all. CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: Actually, I don't 14 believe Mr. O'Laughlin asked that specific question. 15 But, Miss Spaletta, help us with --16 17 MS. SPALETTA: Sure. 18 CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: -- the point. 19 MS. SPALETTA: Mr. O'Laughlin only examined 20 Mr. Leahigh about DWR 905 and I'm actually working from a different exhibit. 21 I really would prefer not to have to do this in 2.2 the hearing, but, again, because we don't have discovery 23 before the hearing, nor the opportunity to examine the 24 25 witnesses, we are left with this forum.

So, there is a point. Frankly, I just want to 1 2 understand the numbers. I don't think it's appropriate for DWR to 3 4 present in graphical or chart form conclusions about 5 their operational data without having adequate foundation. 6 7 If the rest of the stakeholders in this proceeding do not understand the foundation for the 8 chart, it should not be admissible. And so this is an 9 attempt to reach that understanding. 10 11 CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: All right. 12 Overruled, Mr. Berliner. We will plow through this. WITNESS LEAHIGH: You know, I'm sorry, but I 13 could conceptually describe why the breakdown is what it 14 is in those stacked bar charts. I don't think we need to 15 16 go through a tedious exercise bit by bit. 17 I mean, I can -- I can explain why all of the 18 results that apparently are . . . somebody has a problem 19 with the results. But we can step through conceptually 20 why they make sense. 21 CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: Hold on a second. Mr. Ochenduszko. 2.2 23 MR. OCHENDUSKO: I'm just going to repeat the offer by Co-Hearing Officer Doduc: 24 25 If anybody wants to approach the screen to see

1	what we're talking about.
2	CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: So that's what you
3	were
4	MS. DES JARDINS: Yes.
5	CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: Yes. There are
6	monitors up here.
7	MS. DES JARDINS: Okay.
8	CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: I'm sorry. Where
9	were we?
10	MS. SPALETTA: Mr. Leahigh was objecting to my
11	line of questioning.
12	CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: Oh. Which I believe
13	his attorney has already done and which I have overruled.
14	MS. SPALETTA: So my question was, you told me
15	that the total Oroville release number is measured. And
16	I was asking you if there was some other combination of
17	the other columns that should add up to that measured
18	number.
19	WITNESS LEAHIGH: Yes.
20	MS. SPALETTA: Okay. And which columns should
21	add up to the measured number for the total Oroville
22	release?
23	WITNESS LEAHIGH: So any of the numbers in the
24	Instream Requirements columns. Actually, any any of
25	the columns all of the columns with the exception of

the Feather River service area, the FRSA column, which is 1 2 the first column, because those deliveries come straight out of Thermalito and they're not -- Thermalito Afterbay 3 and they're not released to the river. 4 5 MS. SPALETTA: Okay. So that is helpful. The total Oroville release number, then, is 6 7 exclusive of the withdrawals from the Thermalito Afterbay. 8 9 WITNESS LEAHIGH: Correct. MS. SPALETTA: 10 Okay. So, then, Mr. O'Laughlin didn't ask this 11 12 specific question and it was something that I wanted to 13 ask. For this time period in January of 2011, the 14 Delta was in excess conditions. And if I'm reading this 15 16 chart correctly, the -- all of the exports are treated as 17 coming from unstored flow, even though there is a release 18 of 1750 cfs from Oroville for instream purposes; is that 19 correct? WITNESS LEAHIGH: 20 That's correct, because 21 that's a passthrough of unstored flow. It's not -- It doesn't represent a release of stored water. 2.2 MS. SPALETTA: Oh. So this measured Total 23 Oroville Release column that says 1750, how do we know if 24

the number in that column is a passthrough of unstored

25

```
flow versus a release from storage?
 1
 2
                WITNESS LEAHIGH:
                                  Whether or not there's a
      number in the far right-hand column or not.
 3
 4
                MS. SPALETTA: Can you explain that in more
      detail.
 5
                WITNESS LEAHIGH: A non-zero number in the far
 6
 7
      right-hand column. So if there's a zero in that column
      on the far right, then that would indicate that the --
 8
      that releases are from stored water in Oroville. But in
 9
      this particular case, there's -- not only is there
10
      unstored water being passed through Oroville, there's
11
12
      unstored water in the system that's being exported as
13
      well.
                               There's stored water?
14
                MS. SPALETTA:
15
                WITNESS LEAHIGH: I'm sorry. Unstored water
16
      that's being exported in this period.
17
                MS. SPALETTA: All right. So I think that
18
      helps me, because when -- when you finished with
      Mr. O'Laughlin, I had reached the conclusion that this
19
20
      Total Oroville Release column was only releases from
21
      storage.
                But I think if you -- if I'm correct in my
2.2
      understanding right now, it could be either a passthrough
23
      of unstored water or a release from storage, depending on
24
25
      the condition.
```

1	WITNESS LEAHIGH: Correct.
2	MS. SPALETTA: Okay. Looking at March 15th in
3	this table, there is a Total Oroville Release of 4,017
4	thousand acre-feet and a flood release of 2,742 thousand
5	acre-feet.
6	And then what does the difference between those
7	two numbers represent?
8	WITNESS LEAHIGH: So this is in cfs.
9	MS. SPALETTA: I'm sorry, cfs.
10	WITNESS LEAHIGH: Yeah. And the So the
11	difference is that minimum minimum instream flow
12	release, which is the 1275.
13	MS. SPALETTA: So why are you classifying some
14	of it as flood release here when earlier in the year it
15	was classified simply as unstored flow?
16	WITNESS LEAHIGH: I'm sorry. Can we go back
17	up? I don't have the
18	MS. SPALETTA: Up to January.
19	(Scrolling up document.)
20	WITNESS LEAHIGH: Oh, why Okay. The
21	question is why is this some of it's being classified
22	as a flood release?
23	MS. SPALETTA: Um-hmm. Why?
24	WITNESS LEAHIGH: Because either Most
25	likely, we were going into flood control storage at this

263

```
1
      point.
 2
                MS. SPALETTA: Okay. Okay. This is probably a
      simple question.
 3
 4
                If you scroll down to June 5th, that row is
      highlighted.
 5
                     (Scrolling down document.)
 6
 7
                MS. SPALETTA: Is there some significance to
      the highlighting?
 8
                WITNESS LEAHIGH: Not that I'm aware.
 9
                MS. SPALETTA: Okay. And then if we scroll
10
11
      down to December 1st.
                     (Scrolling down document.)
12
13
                MS. SPALETTA: On December 1st, you have
      exports of 6,360 and an Oroville release of 2,845 with
14
15
      exports from unstored flow at 3,515.
                So here, are you treating a portion of the
16
      export as a re-diversion of releases from Oroville?
17
                                  (Examining document.)
18
                WITNESS LEAHIGH:
19
                So we have unstored flow that's being exported
      and part of that -- Let's see, 1145, that column is -- I
20
21
      forget. That's release for export? No, that was -- I
      don't have the headings in front of me.
2.2
23
                MS. SPALETTA: It's flood release.
                                                     I'm sorry,
24
      no, Release for Export. You're correct. Release for
25
      Export.
```

1	(Pause in proceedings.)
2	MS. SPALETTA: I think, based on your earlier
3	testimony, what's happening here is different than what
4	was happening in January is, you are treating the release
5	from Oroville as a release from storage and then counting
6	that as a re-diversion of the release from storage at the
7	pumps and reducing the export of unstored flow by a like
8	amount.
9	Am I correct?
10	WITNESS LEAHIGH: It looks like that could be
11	the case here.
12	MS. SPALETTA: Could be or is?
13	WITNESS LEAHIGH: You know, it's really hard to
14	tell sitting here and looking at two .pdfs, but
15	MS. SPALETTA: I'm glad that you agree.
16	What would you need to have to verify that?
17	WITNESS LEAHIGH: I'd have to Well, I need
18	to talk to the person that put this together, for one.
19	MS. SPALETTA: I thought you said you put this
20	together.
21	WITNESS LEAHIGH: Well, I just put the data
22	together to put it in a form that was somewhat readable.
23	That's all I did.
24	But the the graph was produced, as I said,
2.5	by my staff.

1	MS. SPALETTA: So you're not able to answer.
2	WITNESS LEAHIGH: I'm not able to answer what?
3	MS. SPALETTA: Whether the difference between
4	the SWP exports on December 1st Well, I'll ask it
5	better. Strike that.
6	Whether the 2,845 cfs of Oroville release is
7	being treated as a re-diversion of released stored water
8	at the export pumps on December 1st.
9	WITNESS LEAHIGH: (Examining document.)
10	Yeah, I'm not sure.
11	MS. SPALETTA: Okay. Let's move on to DWR
12	Exhibit 906.
13	Oh, actually before we do.
14	All of the explanations you just gave me for
15	DWR-903, would they be equally applicable to the way that
16	the columns work on DWR-904 and 905?
17	WITNESS LEAHIGH: Yes. The arrangement of the
18	columns are the same in all the exhibits.
19	MS. SPALETTA: Okay. Then moving on to
20	DWR-906.
21	(Document displayed on screen.)
22	MS. SPALETTA: This is the data for DWR
23	Exhibit 852.
24	And, again, you created this document; right?
25	WITNESS LEAHIGH: Yes.

And it includes columns entitled 1 MS. SPALETTA: 2 "Unmet Demand" for the CVP and the SWP. 3 Do you see those? WITNESS LEAHIGH: Yes. 5 MS. SPALETTA: And what do those numbers 6 represent? 7 WITNESS LEAHIGH: Those numbers represent the difference between -- Well, for SWP, it's the difference 8 9 between the total Table A amount and the percentage of the Table A that was allocated. 10 11 MS. SPALETTA: So am I correct to understand, 12 then, that you are equating demand with total Table A 13 amount in each contractor's contract? WITNESS LEAHIGH: That's re -- That's -- That's 14 15 correct, because as I testified before, essentially the 16 demands we're getting from our contractors now are the 17 full Table A. 18 MS. SPALETTA: Okay. And so that is without 19 regard to whether a contractor is intending to take 20 delivery of the water to sell it to someone else, use it 21 for direct beneficial use, or put it to storage; correct? 2.2 WITNESS LEAHIGH: It's -- It's with regard 23 to -- I can't say. It's in terms of their request, like They're requesting the full Table A, and I don't 24 25 have the specifics on what that water would be used

```
1
      for --
 2
                MS. SPALETTA:
                               That's all I have.
                WITNESS LEAHIGH: -- when or how it would be
 3
      used.
 4
 5
                MS. SPALETTA:
                               Those are all my questions.
 6
      Thank you.
 7
                CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC:
                                            Thank you,
 8
      Miss Spaletta.
                Well, we've been busy today. We've received
 9
      several objections, several requests, and we'll need to
10
11
      think that over.
                In the meantime, when we resume tomorrow, we
12
      will have Mr. Aladjem first up followed by Mr. Keeling
13
      and then followed by the others.
14
15
                Mr. Berliner, I am estimating at this point,
      though. Who knows? At this point, I'm estimating around
16
      two and a half hours left of cross-examination for this
17
18
      panel.
                I was going to ask, with respect to this panel,
19
20
      I believe you have some redirect but I also believe you
21
      have perhaps a time constraint with respect to your
      Panel 3 witnesses.
2.2
23
                So do you have a proposal to make,
      Mr. Berliner?
24
```

MR. BERLINER: I have one that would be a

25