CLEMENTINE JOSEPHSON
Regional Solicitor
AMY L. AUFDEMBERGE
Assistant Regional Solicitor
Office of the Regional Solicitor
United States Department of the Interior
2800 Cottage Way, E-1712
Sacramento, California 95825

Telephone: (916) 978-5688 Facsimile: (916) 978-5694

Attorneys for the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation

STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

Hearing in the Matter of California Department)
of Water Resources and United States Department)
of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation Request)
for a Change in Point of Diversion)
for California Water Fix	

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, BUREAU OF RECLAMATION'S JOINDER IN CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' MASTER RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS

The United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation

(Reclamation), hereby joins and incorporates in full by reference the California

Department of Water Resources' Master Response to Objections and reserves the right to
file separate responses addressing individual objections that may supplement the Master

Responses submitted.

To the extent that objections have been raised to specifically disqualify Reclamation witnesses, Mr. Ron Milligan and Mr. Ray Sahlberg, the major objections raised are that their testimony is based on CalSim II and DSM2 modeling, and that their testimony draws legal conclusions. These general objections are responded to in the Department of Water Resources' Master Response and such responses apply to Mr. Ron Milligan and Mr. Ray Sahlberg. However, Reclamation adds the following:

Ron Milligan – Mr. Ron Milligan easily qualifies as an expert in Central Valley Project operations under Cal. Evid. Code § 801, holding the position of Manager of the Central Valley Operations (CVO) Office for twelve years. As CVO Manager, Mr. Milligan's experience is not only beyond common experience, but is unique even among water project managers as the CVP is the nation's largest reclamation project. To the extent that Mr. Milligan's testimony relies upon CalSimII and DSM2 modeling, both of these models are reasonably relied upon by experts in forming opinions about future water operations. As set forth in detail in DWR's Master Response, these models are widely used and are commonly used for comparative project operation scenarios. Mr. Milligan's qualifications are such that his perception of modeling results will be helpful to determine appropriate use of the models and would be helpful to the Hearing Officers' understanding of the modeling results as they relate to the CVP.

Ray Sahlberg – Mr. Ray Sahlberg also easily qualifies as an expert under Cal. Evid. Code § 801, holding the position of Regional Water Rights Officer since 2006. As the nation's largest reclamation project, the CVP's water rights are more complicated than typically water rights throughout California. Mr. Sahlberg is involved in the day to day administration of the water rights for the CVP. To the extent that Mr. Sahlberg's

reasonably relied upon by experts in forming opinions about the impacts of future water operations. Mr. Sahlberg's experiences are such that his perception of modeling results would be helpful to the Hearing Officers' understanding of the modeling results as they relate to the CVP. In addition, due to his position, Mr. Sahlberg's testimony regarding injury to other legal users of water is admissible because it is not intended to displace the Hearing Officers' independent judgment on this ultimate issue, but rather is useful to the Hearing Officers' deliberations given his experience with CVP water rights.

Some objections have also been raised against Kristin White, Reclamation's expert on the computer modeling panel. The primary objection against Ms. White's testimony is that she only submits a paragraph in support of the lead testimony. The panel presentation was approved by the Hearing Officers in the June 10, 2016 ruling. It is Reclamation's understanding that in order to be available for cross-examination on a panel, secondary witnesses were to submit a short statement of direct testimony. Ms. White did that, and did that timely. While not the lead presenter, Ms. White is essential to the modeling panel as the expert with the most knowledge of appropriate model inputs and assumptions relevant to the CVP, as stated in her direct testimony. Her purpose is not to waste time and replicate the lead testimony but to be available for questions about the models and the CVP, in the interest of an efficient proceeding.

To the extent that other objections have been raised to disqualify Reclamation witnesses or exhibits, DWR's Master Response to Objections sufficiently responds to those objections.

For the foregoing reasons, the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, requests that the Hearing Officers overrule the objections.

Respectfully submitted on this 20th day of July, 2016

Amy L. Aufdemberge
Assistant Regional Solicitor
Attorney for United States Department of the Interior
Bureau of Reclamation

STATEMENT OF SERVICE

CALIFORNIA WATERFIX PETITION HEARING Department of Water Resources and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Petitioners)

I hereby certify that I have this day submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board and caused a true and correct copy of the following document(s):

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' MASTER RESPONSE TO SIMILAR OBJECTIONS MADE BY PROTESTANTS COLLECTIVELY, AND BUREAU OF RECLAMATION'S JOINDER IN CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' MASTER RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS

)EP	ARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' MASTER RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS
the Res	be served by Electronic Mail (email) upon the parties listed in Table 1 of the Current Service List for California WaterFix Petition Hearing, dated <u>July 15, 2016</u> , posted by the State Water sources Control Board at //www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/service_list.shtml:
mp.	William Source S
atte	e: In the event that any emails to any parties on the Current Service List are undeliverable, you must empt to effectuate service using another method of service, if necessary, and submit another tement of service that describes any changes to the date and method of service for those parties.
For	Petitioners Only:
	I caused a true and correct hard copy of the document(s) to be served by the following method of service to Suzanne Womack & Sheldon Moore, Clifton Court, L.P., 3619 Land Park Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818:
	Method of Service: U.S. Postal
l ce	urtify that the foregoing is true and correct and that this document was executed on
	Date
	Signature:
	Valentina German

Name: Valentina German

Title: Legal Analyst
Party/Affiliation: DWR

Address: 1416 Ninth Street 1104

Sacramento, CA 95814