

## LTCP CASE REVIEWS AND CLOSURES REPORT: June 3, 2013

All the data for the Regional Water Quality Control Boards, Local Oversight Programs, the Local Implementing Agencies, and the State Water Resources Control Board is compiled from GeoTracker, as of June 3, 2013. The data for the Division of Water Quality was compiled from internal tracking mechanisms as of June 3, 2013.

### Regional Water Quality Control Boards:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Open Cases as of 8/17/12	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria	Cases Closed Since 8/17/12
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	305	31	16	147	68	30	48
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	292	24	5	211	37	3	17
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	219	0	14	132	54	10	20
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	1,259	157	19	760	222	77	104
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	236	1	0	191	20	10	28
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	76	16	1	43	7	1	12
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	363	28	17	254	28	16	36
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	139	19	79	10	22	6	11
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	97	11	9	68	9	2	5
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	238	27	31	136	32	5	12
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	57	14	24	10	2	1	8
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>3,283</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>1,962</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>301</b>

**Local Oversight Programs (LOP):**

<b>ORGANIZATION NAME</b>	<b>Open Cases as of 8/17/12</b>	<b>Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP</b>	<b>Case Review for LTCP in Progress</b>	<b>Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met</b>	<b>Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met</b>	<b>Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria</b>	<b>Cases Closed Since 8/17/12</b>
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	289	0	176	67	31	7	17
EL DORADO COUNTY LOP	18	0	0	10	5	5	5
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	102	43	32	5	9	9	13
MERCED COUNTY LOP	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
NAPA COUNTY LOP	25	10	6	3	3	0	3
NEVADA COUNTY LOP	17	12	2	0	1	0	2
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	305	0	0	168	118	15	19
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	77	19	36	8	12	1	3
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	187	109	15	42	11	1	11
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	479	74	339	27	9	1	36
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	98	40	12	33	7	5	19
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	116	34	64	8	5	0	7
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	167	55	22	71	6	0	18
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	153	31	11	51	32	19	27
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	235	70	40	99	10	0	21
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	73	44	11	12	3	2	6
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	145	7	16	99	15	4	11
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	35	13	10	4	7	1	2
TULARE COUNTY LOP	68	28	10	17	11	1	2
VENTURA COUNTY LOP	72	0	23	18	18	5	14
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>2,662</b>	<b>590</b>	<b>825</b>	<b>742</b>	<b>313</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>236</b>

**Local Implementing Agencies (LIA):**

<b>ORGANIZATION NAME</b>	<b>Open Cases as of 8/17/12</b>	<b>Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP</b>	<b>Case Review for LTCP in Progress</b>	<b>Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met</b>	<b>Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met</b>	<b>Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria</b>	<b>Cases Closed Since 8/17/12</b>
ALAMEDA COUNTY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ALAMEDA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	105	19	68	12	2	2	6
ANAHEIM, CITY OF	17	0	3	9	1	0	4
BAKERSFIELD, CITY OF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BERKELEY, CITY OF	41	33	5	0	1	1	2
BURBANK, CITY OF	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
BUTTE COUNTY	9	7	1	0	0	1	1
DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL	39	37	0	0	1	0	0
FULLERTON, CITY OF	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
GILROY, CITY OF	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
GLENDALE, CITY OF	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
HAYWARD, CITY OF	38	21	2	0	15	0	0
KINGS COUNTY	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
LONG BEACH, CITY OF	22	22	0	0	0	0	2
LOS ANGELES COUNTY	183	174	1	0	0	1	12
LOS ANGELES, CITY OF	139	85	5	8	5	1	36
MADERA COUNTY	12	12	0	0	0	0	0
MONO COUNTY	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
MONTEREY COUNTY	30	30	0	0	1	0	0
ORANGE COUNTY	3	3	0	0	0	0	0

ORANGE, CITY OF	4	4	0	0	0	0	0
PALO ALTO, CITY OF	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
PASADENA, CITY OF	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
PLACER COUNTY	2	0	1	0	0	0	1
PLUMAS COUNTY	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
SAN BENITO COUNTY	4	4	0	0	0	0	0
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
SAN JOSE, CITY OF	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	4	3	0	0	0	0	1
SAN LUIS OBISPO, CITY OF	2	1	0	0	0	0	1
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	19	4	1	9	6	0	0
SANTA FE SPRINGS, CITY OF	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
SANTA MONICA, CITY OF	13	12	1	0	0	0	1
SHASTA COUNTY	4	5	0	0	0	0	0
TORRANCE, CITY OF	6	6	0	0	0	0	0
TUOLUMNE COUNTY	7	7	0	0	0	0	0
VERNON, CITY OF	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>67</b>

**State Water Resources Control Board:**

<b>ORGANIZATION NAME</b>	<b>Open Cases as of 8/17/12<sup>i</sup></b>	<b>Reviews Not Complete</b>	<b>Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met</b>	<b>Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met</b>	<b>Closure Ordered by SWRCB<sup>ii</sup></b>	<b>Regulatory Agencies Plan to Close</b>	<b>Regulatory Agencies Closed</b>
DFA – UST CLEANUP FUND	552	83	27	113	23	165	141

<b>ORGANIZATION NAME</b>	<b>Active Petitions as of 8/17/12</b>	<b>New Petitions Since 8/17/12</b>	<b>Petitions w/60-day Closure Notice Posted After 8/17/2012</b>	<b>Petitions Withdrawn by Responsible Party After 8/17/2012</b>	<b>Petitions Dismissed by Executive Director After 8/17/2012</b>	<b>Petitioned Cases Closed by Lead Agency After 8/17/2012</b>	<b>Petitioned Cases Closed by the State Water Board After 8/17/2012</b>	<b>Petitions Resolved<sup>iii</sup> Since 8/17/2012</b>	<b>Active Petitions as of Reporting Date</b>
DWQ – PETITIONS	72	9	14	4	0	18	1	23	58

<sup>i</sup> DFA cases are already included above under the appropriate (lead) regulatory agency. Total number of cases with 5-year reviews that had previously recommended the regulatory agency pursue closure based on Resolution No. 92-49 criteria as of 8/17/2012.

<sup>ii</sup> Closure activities must be completed within 6 months, and the Uniform Closure Letter issued within the following 2 months.

<sup>iii</sup> The Sum of Petitions Withdrawn by the Responsible Party, Petitions Dismissed by the Executive Director, Closed by the Lead Agency, and Closed by the State Water Board equals the Petitions Resolved.