Public Comment Public Comment Deadline: 7/23/12 by 12 noon Public Comment Deadline: 7/23/12 by 12 noon Public Comment

No. 1 The Plaza Sonoma, California 95476-6618 Phone (707) 938-3681 Fax (707) 938-8775 E-Mail: cityhall@sonomacity.org



Aswan, Arab Rebublic of Egypt Chambolle-Musigny, France Greve in Chianti, Italy Kaniv, Ukraine Patzcuaro, Mexico

July 20, 2012

Jeanine Townsend, Clerk to the Board State Water Resources Control Board P.O. Box 100 Sacramento, CA 95812-200

ECEIVE 7-20-12 SWRCB Clerk

Subject: Comment Letter – Second Draft Phase II Small MS4 General Permit

Dear Ms. Townsend and Members of the Board:

The City of Sonoma appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the subject of the Second Draft Phase II Small MS4 General Permit (draft Permit). The City of Sonoma respectfully requests that the State Water Resources Control Board consider the following comments when reviewing the proposed draft Permit. In addition, a copy of the estimated costs associated with the City of Sonoma has been enclosed for your reference. Please note that the City is current Stormwater budget is \$35,000. In order to comply with the draft Permit the City will be required to increase the annual budget to between \$48,427 and \$228,437. Given the City's current population of 10,648 this would result in an average annual program cost of \$35.40 per household (based on 2.15 persons per household). This amount exceeds estimated average annual cost as suggested on page 11 of the Fact Sheet. As you know many cities in California are facing incredible budget shortfalls and the City of Sonoma simply does not have the resources available to implement the draft Permit in its current form. The City of Sonoma is concerned that if the draft Permit is adopted in its current form the City of Sonoma will be out of compliance by the first year.

One idea the City of Sonoma would like to offer to help with costs would be to revise Proposition 218 to allow stormwater taxes to be assessed without voter approval. Because of the ongoing partnerships with other agencies, such as the Sonoma Ecology Center, North Bay Watershed Association, and other municipalities within our region, we have seen trash reduced in storm drains and creeks, restaurants no longer rinsing floor mats near storm drains, we have developed a strong construction inspection program, all City employees are trained to immediately report illicit discharge, and post construction BMPs are promoted with every construction project. The City of Sonoma recently received \$115,000 in grant funding from the Sonoma County Water Agency to fund a Low Impact Demonstration project and if the City is required to implement the draft Permit the City will no longer have staff available to manage projects that go above and beyond what the draft Permit requires.

General comments:

Please remove all requirements without a demonstrated water quality nexus.

Please provide more flexibility to support implementation and prioritization of the draft Permit by region or community.

Provide a clear, documented, regulatory path to allow implementation of existing programs.

Establish Phase II program requirements that are within the capacity of the current and anticipated resources of cities and counties or provide the funding necessary for implementation.

Specific comments by section:

Section B: The Permittee should not be required to reduce discharges from charity car washes, mobile cleaning and pressure washing operations, and landscape irrigation. The City of Sonoma provides charity car wash kits to the public to use free of charge. Unfortunately, most of the events take place on the weekend when staff is not available to supervise. In addition, the City of Sonoma is concerned that it may receive negative feedback from the political community if it attempts to regulate charity organizations. Instead the City of Sonoma would like to include charity car wash organizers and mobile cleaning and pressure washing operations in its Public Outreach and Education Program. In addition, the Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance (AB 1881) already regulates discharges form landscape irrigation. The City of Sonoma is concerned that this requirement is redundant and should be removed.

Section D: Please remove the third paragraph of Section XI of the Fact Sheet that refers to the Ninth Circuit's decision in *NRDC vs. County of Los Angeles, et al.* The City of Sonoma feels that if the language is left intact, it will represent a seismic shift in policy, create an inconsistency issue with other permits, and undermine the core of the Water Boards' cooperative partnership with the City of Sonoma relative to stormwater management and the achievement of water quality standards.

Section E.7: The Permittee should not be required to use a Community-Based Social Marketing strategies or equivalent. Instead the Permittee should be allowed to create a Public Outreach and Education Program based on its own unique community goals and watershed attributes. This would allow for a community-based approach that would be much more effective. Simply spending money to meet the requirement does not make for a more effective stormwater program.

Section E.7.a.ii.b: The Permittee should not be required to implement surveys to gage the level of awareness and behavior change in target audiences and effectiveness of education tasks. The City of Sonoma has a population of 10,648 and has found that the

use of surveys does not provide useful information. Indeed, surveys are time consuming and use up valuable staff time that could be used to implement a more successful stormwater program. Instead the City would like to use the time engaging in face-to-face conversations with the target audience on specific desired behaviors such as the importance of picking up waste from pets and why it is imperative to reduce the pathogen levels in Sonoma Creek.

Section E.7.a.ii.j: While the City of Sonoma appreciates the importance of teaching children about stormwater, the fact remains that the No Child Left Behind program currently administered by the State of California limits the available classroom time for many programs such as stormwater education programs If it is not the intent of the draft Permit to have Permittees enter the classroom, please be more specific in how Permittees would present school-aged children the storm water education materials.

Section E.9.a.ii.b: Please do not require that photographs be taken to provide baseline information to track operation and maintenance needs over time. It may seem like a simple task but most outfalls in the City of Sonoma are located on private property and gaining access to private property is timely and expensive. In addition, many of the outfalls may be covered in vegetation (such as blackberries), which would take additional staff time to clear prior to taking the photograph. The are 127 outfalls in the City of Sonoma if each one takes 2 hours to cut the blackberries back and photograph, that would amount to 32 days of staff time to photograph the outfalls.

Section E. 12.j: Please remove the requirement to modify general plans and zoning codes to ensure watershed process protection is fully considered in land planning decisions that impact stormwater management of existing and future development. The City of Sonoma updates its general plan every five years and it takes years to get a general plan update approved. Instead the State Water Board should consider changing the language to state that the Phase II Small MS4 General Permit shall be considered in all general plans and zoning code updates.

Section E13.iii: This section should be removed. It is not clear as to implications of requiring Permittes that discharge to waterbodies listed as impaired on the 303(d) list to consult with the Regional Water Board within six months of the effective date of the permit to access whether monitoring is necessary. The City of Sonoma is not comfortable submitting a Notice of Intent to comply with a permit that is unclear as to the specific requirements as they relate to water quality monitoring.

Section E.14.: This section should be removed. This is an expensive and staff intensive requirement that is based on assumptions that would not result in useful information that would not result in a more effective stormwater program.

Attachment G: On page 8 of attachment G, please explain why the City of Sonoma has been identified as a Municipality with responsibility for an Urban Creek TMDL when Sonoma Creek has not been identified as an Impaired Water body.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on the draft Permit. We look forward to continuing to work with you and your staff toward a positive outcome on this critical program. Please contact Wendy Atkins directly at (707) 933-2204 should you have any questions or wish to discuss.

Sincerely,

Wendy Atkins Stormwater Coordinator

Milenka Bates Public Works Director

cc: Jared Huffman Assembly Member 6th District 11 English Street Room 15 Petaluma, CA 94952

> Senator Noreen Evans State Capitol 1303 10th Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. Bruce Wolfe Executive Director California Regional Water Quality Control Board 1515 Clay St., #1400 Oakland, CA 94612

Mayor Joanne Sanders Councilmembers Barbose, Brown, Gallian, and Rouse

Linda Kelly, City Manager

		PERMIT COMPLIANCE		STAFF	STAFF	n No _{de} la			OTHER COSTS	ANNUAL COST?				COST BORNE BY CITY	
	PERMIT ELEMENT	YEAR	STAFF LEVEL	HOURS PER WEEK	HOURS	FTE	\$/FTE	STAFFING COSTS	(consultants, equipment, lab etc)	ANNUAL COST	TOTAL COST	ANNUAL COST	ONE TIME COST	OR DEVELO PER	NOTES
										Yes = 1 No = 2					
E.6 Sub-T	Guidance Document (formally SWMP)	First year	Stormwater		40	0.01923077	\$ 312,000	\$ 6,000		2	\$ 6,000		\$ 6,000.00	City	
E.6	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT ELEMENT							\$ 6,000		. 2	\$ 6,000	\$	\$ 6,000.00		
E.6.a	Legal Authority	Second year	Stormwater Stormwater		8	0.00384615	\$ 87,859	\$ 338		2	\$ 338		\$ 337.92	City	
E.6 <i>.</i> a	Legal Authority		Coordinator		4	0.00192308	\$ 87,859	\$ 169		2	\$ 169		\$ 168.96	City	· ·
E.6.b	Certification		Coordinator		12	0.00576923	\$ 87,859	\$ 507		2	\$ 507		\$ 506.88	Citv	
E.6.b	Certification	and the state of the second state of the secon	City Attorney Stormwater		4	0.00192308	\$ 353,600	\$ 680		2	\$ 680		\$ 680.00		
E.6.c E.6.c	Enforcement Measures and Tracking Enforcement Measures and Tracking	Third year	Coordinator		16		\$ 87,859	\$ 676		2	\$ 676		\$ 675.84	City	
E.6.c	Enforcement Measures and Tracking		City Engr. Stormwater		4	0.00192308				2	\$ 600	· · ·	\$ 600.00		
E.6 Sub-To	otal	Third year +	Coordinator		8 56	0.00384615	\$ 87,859	\$ 338 \$ 15,308	\$	1	\$ 338 \$ 3,308	\$ 337.92 \$ 337.92	\$ 3,307.52		
E.7	EDUCATION AND OUTREACH PROGRAM		Stormwater		· · ·		1210000000000000000000000000000000							aden in 1997 -	
E.7.a E.7.a	Education and Outreach Program Education and Outreach Program	First year Second year +	Coordinator		8	0.00384615	\$ 87,859			2	\$ 338		\$ 337.92		
E.7.a	Education and Outreach Program	Third year			0	0		\$ - \$ -	25660	1	\$ 25,660 \$ 10,000	\$25,660.00	\$ 10,000.00	City	SEC provided quote Consultant to implement
E.7.a	Education and Outreach Program	Second year +			0	0			10000	. 1	\$ 10,000	\$10,000.00	¢ 10,000.00		Consultant to
E.7.b.1	Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Training	Third year +	Stormwater Coordinator		20	0.00961538	\$ 87,859	\$ 845	10000	1	\$ 10,000			City City	implement
E.7.b.2	Construction Outreach and Education	Second year +	Stormwater Coordinator		8	0.00384615					\$ 301				x.
E.7.b.2	Construction Outreach and Education	Second year +				0	* .0,000	\$ _	2000	1				City	Kathy and Dean
E.7.b.2.b	Construction Site Operator Education	Third year +	Stormwater Coordinator		22	0.01057692	¢ 61.000	\$ 648	2000		\$ 2,000			City	QSD/QSP Certification
	Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping Staff Training		Stormwater						· · · · ·	1	\$ 648	\$ 648.32			
E.7 Sub-To		Second year	Coordinator		21 71	0.01009615	\$ 353,600	\$ 3,570 \$ 5,702	\$ 47,660	2	\$ 3,570 \$ 59,977	\$40,130.11	\$ 3,570.00 \$ 13,907.92	City	
E.8	POBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND PARTICIPATION PROGRAM														
E.8.ii	Public Involvement and Participation Program	Second year+				0	#N/Å	\$ 17,860		1	\$ 17,860	\$17,860.00		City	Develop Public Involvement Plan: SEC provided quote
E.8.ii E.8.ii	Public Involvement and Participation Program Public Involvement and Participation Program	Second year+ Second year+				0					\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000.00		City	Report annually on Plan:SEC provided quote
E.8 Sub-To		Cooling years			0			\$ 17,860	2000 \$ 2,000	1	\$ 18,860	\$ 2,000.00 \$18,860.00	\$ -		Budget
E.9	ELIMINATION	335144119919911994144444444									\$				
E.9.a	Outfall Mapping	Second year	Stormwater Coordinator		7	0.00336538	\$ 87,859	\$ 296		2	\$ 296		\$ 295.68	City	
E.9.a	Illicit Discharge Source/Food Facility Inspections	First year +	Stormwater Coordinator						5550	1	\$ 5,550	\$ 5,550.00			А. С.
	Illict Discharge Source/Facility Inventory	Second year	Stormwater Coordinator Stormwater		16	0.00769231	\$ 87,859	\$ 676			\$ 676		\$ 675.84	City	
E.9.b	Illict Discharge Source/Facility Inventory	Second year +	Coordinator Stormwater		8	0.00384615	\$ 87,859	\$ 338		1	\$ 338	\$ 337.92		City	
E.9.c	Field Sampling to detect Illict Discharge	Second year +	Coordinator		16	0.00769231	\$ 87,859	\$ 676	1 1	1	\$ 676	\$ 675.84		City	

	PERMIT ELEMENT	PERMIT COMPLIANCE YEAR	STAFF LEVEL	STAFF HOURS	STAFF HOURS PER YEAR	FTE	\$/FTE	STAFFING COSTS	OTHER COSTS (consultants, equipment,	ANNUAL COST?	TOTAL COST	ANNUAL	ONE TIME COST	COST BORNE BY CITY OR DEVELO	NOTES
					PERILAR				lab etc)	Yes = 1 No = 2				PER	
	Guidance Document (formally SWMP)	First year	Stormwater		40	0.01923077	\$ 312,000	\$ 6,000		2	\$ 6,000		\$ 6,000.00	City	
E.9.c	Field Sampling to detect Illict Discharge	Second year +	Stormwater Coordinator		1	0.00048077	\$ 87,859	\$ 42		1	\$ 42	\$ 42.24		City	
E.9.c	Field Sampling to detect Illict Discharge	Second year						\$ 43,460		2	\$ 43,460		\$ 43,460.00	ļ '	1 time Outfall Inventory
E.9.c	Field Sampling to detect Illict Discharge Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Source	Second year +						\$ 10,865		1	\$ 10.865	\$10,865.00		City	Priority area Annual Inventory (based on 4 locations)
E.9.d E.9 Sub- T	Investigations and Corrective Actions otal	First year +	Stormwater Coordinator		8	0.00384615	\$ 87,859	\$ 338 \$ 92,410	\$ 9,550	1	\$ 338	\$ 337.92		City	loodilonoy
E.10	CONSTRUCTION SITE STORM WATER RUNOFF CONTROL PROGRAM								- 3,000		\$ 62,240	\$ 17,809	\$ 44,432	<u> </u>	
E.10.a	Construction Site Inventory	First year +	Stormwater Coordinator Stormwater		12	0.00576923	\$ 87,859	\$ 507		1	\$ 507	\$ 506.88		Developer	
E.10.b.i	Construction Plan Review and Approval Procedures	First year	Coordinator		. 4	0.00192308	\$ 87,859	\$ 169	1	2	\$ 169		\$ 168.96	Developer	
E.10.b.i	Construction Plan Review and Approval Procedures	First year	City Engr. Streets		4	0.00192308	\$ 312,000	\$ 600		2	\$ 600		\$ 600.00	Developer	
E.10.b.ii.a	Construction Plan Review and Approval Procedures	First year +	Supervisor		20	0.00961538	\$ 68,268	\$ 656		1	\$ 656	\$ 656.42		Developer	
E.10.b.ii.d	Construction Plan Review and Approval Procedures	First year	Stormwater Coordinator Streets		3	0.00144231	\$ 87,859	\$ 127		2	\$ 127	1. 1.	\$ 126.72	Developer	Checklist and summary of procedures
E.10.c.ii	Construction Plan Review and Approval Procedures	First year +	Supervisor Stormwater		60	0.02884615	\$ 68,268	\$ 1,969		· 1	\$ 1,969	\$ 1,969.27		Developer	Based on 60 applications per year.
E.10.c.iii E .10 Sub-		Second year +	Coordinator		12 115	0.00576923	\$ 68,268	\$	\$	1	\$ 394	\$ 393.85		Developer	
E.11	Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Permittee Operations Program							Ψ -1,722	-		\$ 4,422	\$ <u>-</u>	\$ 895.68		
E.11.a	Inventory of Permittee-Owned and Operated Facilities	Second year	Stormwater Coordinator		12	0 00576923	\$ 87,859	\$ 507							
E.11.a	Inventory of Permittee-Owned and Operated Facilities	Secon year +	Stormwater Coordinator		2		\$ 87,859			2	\$ 507		\$ 506.88		
E.11.b	Map of Permittee-Owned or Operated Facilities	Second year +	Stormwater Coordinator		2		\$ 87,859			1	\$ 84 \$ 84	\$ 84.48 \$ 84.48		City City	
E.11.c	Facility Assessment	Third year	Stormwater Coordinator		8	0.00384615	\$ 87,859	\$ 338		2	\$ 338	• • • • • •	\$ 337.92	1	
E.11.d	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plans	Fourth year	Stormwater Coordinator			0.03461538					\$ 3,041		\$ 3,041.27		
E.11.e	Inspection, Visual Monitoring and Remedial Action	Fifth year +	Stormwater Coordinator		27		\$ 87,859			- 1	ĸ	\$ 1,140.48		City	
E.11.f	Storm Drain System Assessment and Prioritization	Second year	Stormwater Coordinator		4		\$ 87,859			2	\$ 169	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$ 168.96		
E.11.f	Storm Drain System Assessment and Prioritization	Second year	City Engr. PW		4	0.00192308				2			\$ 600.00		
E.11.g	Maintenance of Storm drain System	Third year	Maintenance Person		320	0.15384615	\$ 61,296	\$ 9,430		2	\$ 9,430		\$ 9,430.15	City	
E.11.g	Maintenance of Storm drain System Permittee Operatons and Maintenance Activities	Third year	Stormwater Coordinator Stormwater		8		\$ 87,859			2			\$ 9,430.15 \$ 337.92		
E.11.h	(O&M) Permittee Operatons and Maintenance Activities	Third year	Coordinator Stormwater		8	0.00384615	\$ 87,859	\$ 338		2	\$ 338		\$ 337.92	City	
E.11.h	(O&M) Incorporation of Water Quality and Habitat		Coordinator		16	0.00769231	\$ 87,859	\$ 676		2	\$ 676		\$ 675.84		
E.11.i	Enhancement Features in New Flood Management Facilities	Third year	City Engr.		2	0.00096154	\$ 312,000	\$ 300		2	\$ 300		\$ 300.00	City	

	PERMIT ELEMENT	PERMIT COMPLIANCE YEAR	STAFF LEVEL	STAFF HOURS PER WEEK	STAFF HOURS PER YEAR	FTE	\$/FTE	STAFFING COSTS	OTHER COSTS (consultants, equipment, lab etc)	ANNUAL COST? Yes = 1 No = 2	TOTAL COST	ANNUAL COST	ONE TIME COST	COST BORNE BY CITY OR DEVELO PER	NOTES
	Guidance Document (formally SWMP) Incorporation of Water Quality and Habitat		Stormwater		· 40	0.01923077	\$ 312,000	\$ 6,000		2	\$ 6,000		\$ 6,000.00	City	
E.11.i	Enhancement Features in New Flood Management Facilities	Fourth year	Stormwater Coordinator Stormwater		4	0.00192308	\$ 87,859	\$ 169		. 2	\$ 169		\$ 168,96	City	
E.11.j	Landscape Design and Maintenance	Second year	Coordinator		2	0.00096154	\$ 87,859	\$84		2	\$ 84		\$ 84.48	City	
E.11.j	Landscape Design and Maintenance	Second year +	Stormwater Coordinator PW		2	0.00096154	\$ 87,859	\$ 84		1	\$ 84	\$ 84.48		City	
E.11.i	Landscape Design and Maintenance		Maintenance Person		40	0.01923077	\$ 61,296	\$ 1,179		2	\$ 1,179		\$ 1,178.77	City	
E11 Sub-1	otal				533	0.25625		\$ 18,563	\$ -		\$ 18,563	\$ 1,394	\$ 17,169		

	PERMIT ELEMENT	PERMIT COMPLIANCE YEAR	STAFF LEVEL	STAFF HOURS PER WEEK	STAFF HOURS PER YEAR	FTE	\$/FTE	STAFFING COSTS	OTHER COSTS (consultants, equipment, lab etc)	ANNUAL COST? Yes = 1	TOTAL COST	ANNUAL	ONE TIME COST	COST BORNE BY CITY OR DEVELO PER	NOTES
	Guidance Document (formally SWMP)									<u>No = 2</u>					
E.12	POST CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	First year	Stormwater		40	0.01923077	\$ 312,000	\$ 6,000		2	\$ 6,000		\$ 6,000.00	City	
E.12.a	Post-Construction Treatment Measures		Stormwater					_							
E.12.c	Site Design Measures	Third year +	Coordinator		2	0.00096154				1	\$ 84	\$ 84.48		City	
E.12.d.1	Low Impact Development Runoff Standards	and the second	Coordinator		2	0.00096154			*	2	\$ 84		\$ 84.48	City	
E.12.d.1	Low Impact Development Runoff Standards		Coordinator City Engr.		4	0.00192308 0.00192308				2	\$ 169			City	
			Stormwater		4	0.00192306	\$ 312,000	\$ 600		2	\$ 600		\$ 600.00	City	
	Low Impact Development Standards	the second se	Coordinator		4	0.00192308	\$ 87.859	\$ 169	× .	2	\$ 169		\$ 168.96	City	
E.12.d.2	Low Impact Development Standards		City Engr.		8	0.00384615				2	\$ 1,200	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	\$ 1,200.00		
E.12.d.2.iii	Low Impact Development Standards	Second year +	Stormwater Coordinator		8	0.00384615	\$ 87.859	\$ 338		. 1	\$ 338	\$ 337.92	.,	City	
	Operation and Maintenance (OOM) (CD)										φ 330	ψ 007.92		City	
E.12.g	Operation and Maintenance (O&M) of Post- Construction Storm Water Management Measures	Contract	Stormwater												
L. 12.9	construction otorin water management measures	Second year	Coordinator		4	0.00192308	\$ 87,859	\$ 169		2	\$ 169		\$ 168.96	City	
	Operation and Maintenance (O&M) of Post-		Stormwater												
E.12.g	Construction Storm Water Management Measures	Second year	Coordinator		2	0.00096154	\$ 87,859	\$ 84		ģ	\$ 84		\$ 84.48	City.	
	Post-Construction Best Management Practice		Stormwater				• • • • • • • •	¢ 01		ک	ψ 04		φ 84.48	City	
E.12.h	Condition Assessment		Coordinator		8	0.00384615	\$ 87,859	\$ 338		2	\$ 338		\$ 337.92	Citv	
E12.j	Planning and Building Document Updates		Planning												
	the start building boournent opdates		Director Building		8	0.00384615	\$ 115,416	\$ 444		2	\$ 444	1	\$ 443.91	City	
			Administrato												
E12.j	Planning and Building Document Updates	First year	r		8	0.00384615	\$ 115,416	\$ 444		2	\$ 444		\$ 443.91	City	
E10 :	Dispring and Duilding Days and the Lot		Stormwater							<u>،</u>	Ψ 444		φ 443.91	City	
E12.j E .12 Sub-	Planning and Building Document Updates	First year	Coordinator		8 70	0.00384615 0.03365385		\$ 338 \$ 4,462	\$	2	\$ 338	\$ 422	\$ 337.92	City	
E.13	Water Quality Monitoring						·	φ - ,+02	¥		\$ 3,680	\$ 422	\$ 3,258		
- 10 "	TMDLSonoma Creek (Sediment, Pathogens, and		Stormwater												
E.13.ii E.13 Sub-	Urban Creek)	Second Year+	Coordinator		0	0		\$ 47,260		1	\$ 47,260	\$47,260.00		·	SEC provided quote
I 13 300-	l Utai		1		l .	0		\$ 47,260	\$-			\$ 47,260	\$ · ·		

	PERMITELEMENT	PERMIT COMPLIANCE YEAR	STAFF LEVEL	STAFF HOURS PER WEEK	STAFF HOURS PER YEAR	+ FTE	\$/FTE	STAFFING COSTS	OTHER COSTS (consultants, equipment, lab etc)	ANNUAL COST? Yes = 1 No = 2	TOTAL COST	ANNUAL COST	ONE TIME COST	COST BORNE BY CITY OR DEVELO PER	NOTES
1961 States (1965) (1969) -	Guidance Document (formally SWMP)	First year	Stormwater		40	0.01923077	\$ 312,000	\$ 6,000		2	\$ 6,000		\$ 6,000,00	City	
E. 14 E.14.a	PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS ASSESSMENT AND IMPROVEMENT Program Effectiveness Assessment and Improvement Plan	Second year				0.0.020017	#N/A	\$ 3,264		2	\$ 3,264	· ·	\$ 3,264.00		GHD provided quote
E.14.b E.14.c E.14.c E.14.c E.14.c E.14.c E.14.c E.14 Sub-		Fifth year Fourth year Fifth year Third year Fourth year Fifth year				0 0 0 0 0 0 0	#N/A #N/A #N/A #N/A #N/A	\$ 20,629 \$ 7,579 \$ 7,579 \$ 7,579 \$ 7,579 \$ 7,579 \$ 7,579 \$ 61,788	\$ -	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	\$ 20,629 \$ 7,579 \$ 7,579 \$ 7,579 \$ 7,579 \$ 7,579 \$ 7,579 \$ 7,579 \$ 61,788	\$ -	\$ 20,629.00 \$ 7,578.80 \$ 7,579.00 \$ 7,579.00 \$ 7,579.00 \$ 7,579.00 \$ 7,579.00 \$ 61,788		
E.15 E.15 E.15.d E.15 Sub-	TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOADS COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS TMDL Implementation TMDL Reporting Fotal		Stormwater Coordinator		8	0.00384615 0.00384615		\$ 338 \$ 338	s -	1	\$ - \$ 338 \$ 100,021	\$ - \$ 337.92 \$ 338		City	
E.16 E.16 Sub-	ONLINE ANNUAL REPORTING PROGRAM	First year +	Stormwater Coordinator		8	0.00384615 0.00384615			\$	1	\$ 338 \$ 338	\$ 337.92 \$ 338			
					TOTAL			\$ 268,113	\$ 59,210		\$ 380,119	\$ 126,551	\$ 244,440		

	PROGRAM ELEMENT	FIR	ST YEAR COSTS	SECOND YEAR COSTS	THIRD YEAR COSTS	F	OURTH YEAR COSTS	FIFTH YEAR + COSTS	TOTAL COST (over 5 years
	GUIDENCE DOCUMENT	\$	6,000.00						
E.6	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT ELEMENT	\$	1,187	\$ 507	\$ 1.61	4 \$	338	\$ 338	\$ 3,98
E.7	EDUCATION AND OUTREACH PROGRAM	\$	338	\$ 41,531					
E.8	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND PARTICIPATION PROGRAM			\$ 20,860			20,860		
E.9	ILLICIT DISCHARGE DETECTION AND ELIMINATION	\$	5,888		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		17,809		
E.10	CONSTRUCTION SITE STORM WATER RUNOFF CONTROL PROGRAM	\$	4,028					1	
E.11	POLLUTION PREVENTION/GOOD HOUSEKEEPING FOR PERMITTEE OPERATIONS PROGRAM	\$		\$ 3,468					
E.12	POST CONSTRUCTION STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	\$	1,310		\$ 76		422	, · ·	
E.13	WATER QUALITY MONITORING			\$ 47,260			47,260		-,
E.14	PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS ASSESSMENT AND IMPROVEMENT			\$ 3,264	\$ 7,57	9 \$	15,158	1	
E.15	TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOADS COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS	\$	338	\$ 338		8 \$	338		
E.16	ONLINE ANNUAL REPORTING PROGRAM	\$	338				338		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	TOTAL	\$	13,427						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					¢				

MS4 Population (2010) Cost per person per year

		FIRST YEAR STAFF TIMECONE	YEARSTAFF TIN H	IRD YEAR STAFF TIM	OURTH YEAR STAFF TIN	FIFTH YEAR STAFF TIM	
	GUIDENCE DOCUMENT	40.00					
	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT ELEMENT	16.00	12.00	28.00	8.00	8.00	
	EDUCATION AND OUTREACH PROGRAM	8.00	29.00	50.00	50.00		
	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND PARTICIPATION PROGRAM		20.00	00.00	50.00	25.00	1
	ILLICIT DISCHARGE DETECTION AND ELIMINATION	8.00	56.00	33.00	40.00		
10	CONSTRUCTION SITE STORM WATER RUNOFF CONTROL PROGRAM	103.06	104.00	104.00	40.00		
	POLLUTION PREVENTION/GOOD HOUSEKEEPING FOR PERMITTEE	0.00	104.00	104.00	104.00	104.00	
11	OPERATIONS PROGRAM	0.00	708.00	368.01	80.00	00.00	
2	POST CONSTRUCTION STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	18.00	36.00	18.00			
3	WATER QUALITY MONITORING	10.00	50.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	
4	PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS ASSESSMENT AND IMPROVEMENT	· · ·					
5	TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOADS COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS	8.00	8.00	0.00	0.00		
16	ONLINE ANNUAL REPORTING PROGRAM	8.00	8.00	8.00 8.00	8.00		
	TOTAL	169.0576923 \$			8.00		
	Days per year		961 \$	617		\$ 279	
	Days per week	21.13221154	120.125	77.12596154	38.5	34.875	
		0.406388683	2.310096154	1.483191568	0.740384615	0.670673077	