

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY COMPLAINT NO. R5-2008-0522

MANDATORY PENALTY
IN THE MATTER OF

CITY OF PLACERVILLE
HANGTOWN CREEK WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT
EL DORADO COUNTY

This complaint is issued to the City of Placerville (hereafter Discharger) pursuant to California Water Code (CWC) section 13385, which authorizes the imposition of Administrative Civil Liability (ACL), CWC section 13323, which authorizes the Executive Officer to issue this complaint, and CWC section 7, which authorizes the delegation of the Executive Officer's authority to a deputy, in this case the Assistant Executive Officer. This complaint is based on findings that the Discharger violated provisions of Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) Order No. 5-01-045 (NPDES No. CA0078956).

The Assistant Executive Officer of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region (Regional Water Board) finds the following:

1. The Discharger owns and operates the Hangtown Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant, which provides sewerage service to the City of Placerville in El Dorado County. Treated domestic, commercial and industrial wastewater is discharged to Hangtown Creek, a water of the United States, tributary to Weber Creek and tributary to the South Fork of the American River.
2. On 16 March 2001, the Regional Water Board adopted WDRs Order No. 5-01-045 to regulate discharges of waste from the facility. The WDRs include effluent limitations and other requirements regarding the wastewater discharges.
3. CWC sections 13385(h) and (i) require assessment of mandatory penalties and state, in part, the following:

CWC section 13385(h)(1) states, "Notwithstanding any other provision of this division, and except as provided in subdivisions (j), (k), and (l), a mandatory minimum penalty of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) shall be assessed for each serious violation."

CWC section 13385 (h)(2) states, "For the purposes of this section, a 'serious violation' means any waste discharge that violates the effluent limitations contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements for a Group II pollutant, as specified in Appendix A to Section 123.45 of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, by 20 percent or more or for a Group I pollutant, as specified in Appendix A to Section 123.45 of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, by 40 percent or more."

CWC section 13385(i)(1) states, "Notwithstanding any other provision of this division, and except as provided in subdivisions (j), (k), and (l), a mandatory minimum penalty of

three thousand dollars (\$3,000) shall be assessed for each violation whenever the person does any of the following four or more times in any period of six consecutive months, except that the requirement to assess the mandatory minimum penalty shall not be applicable to the first three violations:

- A) *Violates a waste discharge requirement effluent limitation.*
- B) *Fails to file a report pursuant to Section 13260.*
- C) *Files an incomplete report pursuant to Section 13260.*
- D) *Violates a toxicity effluent limitation contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements where the waste discharge requirements do not contain pollutant-specific effluent limitations for toxic pollutants.”*

4. CWC section 13323 states, in part:

“Any executive officer of a regional board may issue a complaint to any person on whom administrative civil liability may be imposed pursuant to this article. The complaint shall allege the act or failure to act that constitutes a violation of law, the provision authorizing civil liability to be imposed pursuant to this article, and the proposed civil liability.”

5. The Executive Officer previously issued Administrative Civil Liability Complaint (ACLC) No. R5-2001-0509 for mandatory penalties for effluent limitation violations from 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2000 in the amount of \$9,000. The Regional Water Board has accepted payment from the Discharger of the penalty associated with ACLC No. R5-2001-0509, and considers this prior matter resolved.

6. WDRs Order No. 5-01-045 Effluent Limitations No. B.1. include, in part, the following effluent limitations:

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Monthly Average</u>	<u>Weekly Average</u>	<u>Daily Average</u>	<u>Daily Maximum</u>
Nitrate ⁴	mg-N/L	10	--	--	--
Settleable Solids	mL/L	0.1	--	--	0.2
Turbidity ⁴	NTU	--	--	2	5

⁴ Effluent Limitations for nitrates and turbidity are not in effect until 16 March 2006. See Provision G.5 for details.

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>1-Hour Median</u>	<u>4-Day Average</u>	<u>7-Day Median¹</u>	<u>Daily Maximum</u>
Chlorine	mg/L	0.02	0.01	--	--
Total Coliform	MPN/100mL	--	--	2.2	23

¹ 7-Day Median based on previous seven daily sample results. The Effluent Limitation 7-Day Median is not in effect until 16 March 2003. See Provision G.5. for details. A 30-Day Median of 2.2 MPN/100 mL will apply until the 7-Day median limit takes effect.

7. WDRs Order No. 5-01-045 Effluent Limitations No. B.4. states that:

“The discharge shall not have a pH less than 6.5 nor greater than 8.5.”

8. According to the Discharger’s self-monitoring reports, the Discharger committed twenty-six (26) serious Group I violations of the above effluent limitations contained in Order No. 5-01-045 during the period beginning 1 January 2001 and ending 31 December 2007. The violations are defined as serious because measured concentrations of Group I constituents exceeded maximum prescribed levels by more than 40 percent on these occasions. The mandatory minimum penalty for these serious violations is **seventy-eight thousand dollars (\$78,000)**.
9. According to the Discharger’s self-monitoring reports, the Discharger committed two (2) serious Group II violations of the above effluent limitations contained in Order No. 5-01-045 during the period beginning 1 January 2001 and ending 31 December 2007. The violations are defined as serious because measured concentrations of Group II constituents exceeded maximum prescribed levels by more than 20 percent on these occasions. The mandatory minimum penalty for these serious violations is **six thousand dollars (\$6,000)**.
10. According to the Discharger’s self-monitoring reports, the Discharger committed seventy-three (73) non-serious violations of the above effluent limitations contained in Order No. 5-01-045 during the period beginning 1 January 2001 and ending 31 December 2007. Sixty-two (62) of the non-serious violations are subject to mandatory penalties under CWC section 13385(i)(1) because these violations were preceded by three or more similar violations within a six-month period. The mandatory minimum penalty for these non-serious violations is **one hundred eighty-six thousand dollars (\$186,000)**.
11. The total amount of the mandatory penalties assessed for the cited effluent violations is **two hundred seventy thousand dollars (\$270,000)**. A detailed list of the cited effluent violations is included in Attachment A, a part of this Complaint.
12. CWC section 13385 (k)(1) states, in part:

“In lieu of assessing all or a portion of the mandatory minimum penalties pursuant to subdivisions (h) and (i) against a publicly owned treatment works serving a small community, the state board or the regional board may elect to require the publicly owned treatment works to spend an equivalent amount towards the completion of a compliance project proposed by the publicly owned treatment works...”

13. CWC section 13385 (k)(2) states, in part:

“For the purposes of this subdivision, ‘a publicly owned treatment works serving a small community’ means a publicly owned treatment works serving a population of 10,000 persons or fewer or a rural county, with a financial hardship as determined by the state board after considering such factors as median income of the residents, rate of

unemployment, or low population density in the service area of the publicly owned treatment works.”

14. Staff at the State Water Resources Control Board has determined that the City of Placerville’s Hangtown Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant is not a publicly owned treatment works serving a small community because the population in the service area was greater than 10,000 during the time when the violations occurred. Therefore, the Regional Water Board may not allow the City to complete a compliance project in lieu of assessing mandatory minimum penalties. The determination letter is included as Attachment B, a part of this Complaint.
15. Issuance of this Administrative Civil Liability Complaint to enforce CWC Division 7, Chapter 5.5 is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (Pub. Resources Code section 21000 et seq.), in accordance with California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 15321(a)(2).

THE CITY OF PLACERVILLE IS HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE THAT:

1. The Assistant Executive Officer of the Regional Water Board proposes that the Discharger be assessed an Administrative Civil Liability in the amount of **two hundred seventy thousand dollars (\$270,000)**.
2. A hearing on this matter will be held at the Regional Water Board meeting scheduled on **31 July/1 August 2008**, unless the Discharger agrees to complete the following by **4 June 2008**:
 - a) Waive the hearing by completing the attached form and returning it to the Regional Water Board; and
 - b) Pay the proposed civil liability of **two hundred seventy thousand dollars (\$270,000)** in full.
3. If a hearing on this matter is held, the Regional Water Board will consider whether to affirm, reject, or modify the proposed Administrative Civil Liability, or whether to refer the matter to the Attorney General for recovery of judicial civil liability.

JACK E. DEL CONTE, Assistant Executive Officer

5 May 2008

**WAIVER OF HEARING FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY COMPLAINT**

By signing this waiver, I affirm and acknowledge the following:

1. I am duly authorized to represent the City of Placerville (hereinafter "Discharger") in connection with Administrative Civil Liability Complaint No. R5-2008-0522 (hereinafter the "Complaint");
2. I am informed of the right provided by California Water Code section 13323, subdivision (b), to a hearing within ninety (90) days of service of the Complaint;
3. I hereby waive the Discharger's right to a hearing before the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, within ninety (90) days of the date of service of the Complaint; and
4. I certify that the Discharger will remit payment for the civil liability imposed in the amount of **two hundred seventy thousand dollars (\$270,000)** by check, which contains a reference to "ACL Complaint No. R5-2008-0522" and is made payable to the "*State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account*."
5. I understand the payment of the above amount constitutes a settlement of the Complaint, and that any settlement will not become final until after the 30-day public notice and comment period mandated by Federal regulations (40 CFR 123.27) expires. Should the Regional Board receive new information during this comment period, the Regional Board may withdraw the complaint, return payment, and issue a new complaint.
6. I understand that payment of the above amount is not a substitute for compliance with applicable laws and that continuing violations of the type alleged in the Complaint may subject the Discharger to further enforcement, including additional civil liability.

(Print Name and Title)

(Signature)

(Date)

ATTACHMENT A
ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY COMPLAINT NO. R5-2008-0522

City of Placerville
Hangtown Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant

RECORD OF VIOLATIONS (1 January 2001 – 31 December 2007) MANDATORY PENALTIES
(Data reported under Monitoring and Reporting Program No. 5-01-045)

	<u>Date</u>	<u>Violation Type</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Limit</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Period Type</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
1	5-Nov-02	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	50	Daily	3
2	24-Apr-03	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	70	Daily	3
3	27-Apr-03	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	110	Daily	3
4	12-Sep-03	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	50	Daily	3
5	11-Nov-03	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	30	Daily	3
6	14-Nov-03	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	50	Daily	3
7	9-Dec-04	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	30	Daily	3
8	9-Feb-05	Chlorine Res.	mg/L	0.02	3.4	1-hour	2
9	24-Mar-05	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	30	Daily	3
10	7-Apr-05	pH	pH units	6.5	6.3	Instantaneous	4
11	9-May-05	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	50	Daily	4
12	27-Oct-05	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	80	Daily	3
13	19-Dec-05	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	110	Daily	3
14	26-Dec-05	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	90	Daily	3
15	28-Dec-05	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	30	Daily	4
16	29-Dec-05	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	80	Daily	4
17	4-Jan-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	50	Daily	4
18	1-Mar-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	80	Daily	4
19	3-Apr-06	Turbidity	NTU	2	3	Daily	1
20	5-Apr-06	Settleable Sol.	mL/L	0.2	1	Daily	1
21	6-Apr-06	Turbidity	NTU	2	70	Daily	1
22	6-Apr-06	Turbidity	NTU	5	70	Daily Max	1
23	6-Apr-06	Turbidity	NTU	5	70	Daily Max	1
24	8-Apr-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	4	7-day median	4
25	6-May-06	Chlorine Res.	mg/L	0.02	0.56	1-hour	2
26	23-May-06	Settleable Sol.	mL/L	0.2	0.3	Daily	1
27	3-Aug-06	pH	pH units	6.5	6.3	Instantaneous	4
28	1-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	4	7-day median	4
29	2-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	4	7-day median	4
30	3-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	8	7-day median	4
31	6-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	8	7-day median	4
32	7-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	8	7-day median	4
33	8-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	8	7-day median	4
34	9-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	8	7-day median	4
35	14-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	13	7-day median	4
36	16-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	13	7-day median	4
37	16-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	300	Daily	4

ATTACHMENT A
 CITY OF PLACERVILLE
 ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY COMPLAINT R5-2008-0522

	<u>Date</u>	<u>Violation Type</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Limit</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Period Type</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
38	17-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	13	7-day median	4
39	20-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	7	7-day median	4
40	21-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	7	7-day median	4
41	21-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	70	Daily	4
42	22-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	30	7-day median	4
43	22-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	30	Daily	4
44	23-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	7	7-day median	4
45	24-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	17	7-day median	4
46	27-Nov-06	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	17	7-day median	4
47	30-Nov-06	Nitrate	mg/L	10	14	Monthly	1
48	6-Dec-06	Turbidity	NTU	2	4	Daily	1
49	8-Dec-06	Turbidity	NTU	2	3	Daily	1
50	14-Dec-06	Turbidity	NTU	2	3	Daily	1
51	30-Dec-06	Nitrate	mg/L	10	10	Monthly	4
52	19-Jan-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	220	Daily	4
53	22-Jan-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	50	Daily	4
54	23-Jan-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	17	7-day median	4
55	24-Jan-07	Turbidity	NTU	2	4	Daily	1
56	25-Jan-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	33.5	7-day median	4
57	26-Jan-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	10.5	7-day median	4
58	27-Jan-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	17	7-day median	4
59	27-Jan-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	50	Daily	4
60	29-Jan-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	4	7-day median	4
61	30-Jan-07	Nitrate	mg/L	10	14	Monthly	4
62	26-Feb-07	Turbidity	NTU	2	3	Daily	1
63	27-Feb-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	30	Daily	4
64	30-Mar-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	30	Daily	4
65	3-May-07	Turbidity	NTU	2	3	Daily	1
66	7-May-07	Turbidity	NTU	2	3	Daily	1
67	10-May-07	Turbidity	NTU	2	3	Daily	1
68	17-May-07	Turbidity	NTU	2	3	Daily	1
69	25-May-07	Turbidity	NTU	2	3	Daily	1
70	7-Jun-07	Turbidity	NTU	2	4	Daily	1
71	9-Jun-07	Turbidity	NTU	2	3	Daily	1
72	10-Jun-07	Turbidity	NTU	2	3	Daily	1
73	20-Jun-07	Turbidity	NTU	2	13	Daily	1
74	20-Jun-07	Turbidity	NTU	5	13	Daily Max	1
75	25-Jun-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	130	Daily	4

	<u>Date</u>	<u>Violation Type</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Limit</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>Period Type</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
76	29-Jun-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	4.5	7-day median	4
77	30-Jun-07	Nitrate	mg/L	10	14	Monthly	1
78	31-Jul-07	Nitrate	mg/L	10	17	Monthly	1
79	7-Sep-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	30	Daily	4
80	30-Sep-07	Nitrate	mg/L	10	18	Monthly	1
81	8-Oct-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	9	7-day median	4
82	8-Oct-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	130	Daily	4
83	9-Oct-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	9	7-day median	4
84	10-Oct-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	9	7-day median	4
85	11-Oct-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	13	7-day median	4
86	11-Oct-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	240	Daily	4
87	12-Oct-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	130	7-day median	4
88	12-Oct-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	23	240	Daily	4
89	15-Oct-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	8	7-day median	4
90	16-Oct-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	8	7-day median	4
91	17-Oct-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	8	7-day median	4
92	18-Oct-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	4	7-day median	4
93	31-Oct-07	Nitrate	mg/L	10	18	Monthly	1
94	8-Nov 07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	4	7-day median	4
95	9-Nov 07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	4	7-day median	4
96	30-Nov 07	Nitrate	mg/L	10	13	Monthly	4
97	10-Dec-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	4	7-day median	4
98	11-Dec-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	4	7-day median	4
99	12-Dec-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	4	7-day median	4
100	13-Dec-07	Coliform	MPN/100mL	2.2	4	7-day	4
101	31-Dec-07	Nitrate	mg/L	10	12	Monthly	4

Remarks:

1. Serious Violation: For Group 1 pollutants that exceed the effluent limitation by 40 percent or more.
2. Serious Violation: For Group 2 pollutants that exceed the effluent limitation by 20 percent or more.
3. Non-serious violations falls within the first three violations in a six-month period, thus is exempt.
4. Non-serious violation subject to mandatory penalties.

	<u>VIOLATIONS AS OF:</u>	<u>12/31/2007</u>
	Group 1 Serious Violations:	26
	Group 2 Serious Violations:	2
	Non-Serious Exempt from MPs:	11
	Non-serious Violations Subject to MPs:	62
	<u>Total Violations Subject to MMPs:</u>	<u>90</u>

Mandatory Minimum Penalty = (28 Serious Violations + 62 Non-Serious Violations) x \$3,000 = \$270,000



State Water Resources Control Board

Office of Research, Planning, and Performance
1001 I Street • Sacramento, California 95814 • (916) 341-5279
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 100 • Sacramento, California • 95812-0100
Fax (916) 341-5284 • <http://www.waterboards.ca.gov>



TO: Patricia Leary
Senior Engineer
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
Compliance and Enforcement
NPDES Section

FROM: Gerald Horner, Economist
ghorner@waterboards.ca.gov

DATE: March 5, 2008

SUBJECT: DETERMINATION OF THE CITY OF PLACERVILLE AS A SMALL
COMMUNITY WITH A FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

I have been requested by Randy Pesses, Public Works Director, City of Placerville, to determine whether the Placerville service area meets the eligibility criteria for a Small Community with a Financial Hardship (SCFH) pursuant to Water Code section 13385(k) for the purposes of allowing the City to apply the Minimum Mandatory Penalty to compliance projects (letter, December 4, 2007).

As you know, the Water Quality Enforcement Policy is currently being revised and was published on January 8, 2008 for a 30 day review period. The Policy has not been formally adopted by the Water Board but I used the criteria listed in that draft document to determine that the City of Placerville's is a SCFH. The specific proposed criteria are as follows.

California Water Code section 11385(k)(2) defines "small community" as "a publicly owned treatment works serving a population of 10,000 persons or fewer or a rural county, with a financial hardship as determined by the State board after considering such factors as median income of the residents, rate of unemployment, or low population density in the service area of the publicly owned treatment works."

It is the policy of the State Water Board that "rural county" means a county classified by the Economic Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture (ERS, USDA) with a rural-urban continuum code of four through nine.

It is the policy of the State Water Board that "financial hardship" means that the community served by the POTW meets one of the following criteria:

1. Median household income (The median income divides the income distribution into two equal groups, one having incomes above the median, and the other having incomes below the

California Environmental Protection Agency



Patricia Leary

- 2 -

3/5/2008

median.) for the community is less than 80% of the California median household income;

2. The community has an unemployment rate (All civilians 16 years old and over are classified as unemployed if they (1) were neither "at work" nor "with a job but not at work" during the reference week, and (2) were actively looking for work during the last 4 weeks, and (3) were available to accept a job. Also included as unemployed are civilians who did not work at all during the reference week, were waiting to be called back to a job from which they had been laid off, and were available for work except for temporary illness.) of 10% or greater; or
3. 30% of the population is below the poverty level (Following the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB's) Directive 14, the Census Bureau uses a set of money income thresholds that vary by family size and composition to detect who is poor. If the total income for a family or unrelated individual falls below the relevant poverty threshold, then the family or unrelated individual is classified as being "below the poverty level.").

It is the policy of the State Water Board that "median household income" "unemployment rate", and "poverty level" of the community is based on the most recent U.S. Census block group (A subdivision of a census tract (or, prior to 2000, a block numbering area). A block group is the smallest geographic unit for which the Census Bureau tabulates sample data. A block group consists of all the blocks within a census tract with the same beginning number. Example: block group 3 consists of all blocks within a 2000 census tract numbering from 3000 to 3999. In 1990, block group 3 consisted of all blocks numbered from 301 to 399Z.) data or a local survey approved by the State Water Board.

A map of the Placerville service area was overlaid on the US Census block group map to determine the population, medium household income, and the employment and poverty rates for the City. Using the proposed criteria, the City of Placerville can not be considered a "small community with a financial hardship" because the population of the sewer service area exceeds 10,000. Although the US Census estimated the 2000 population of Placerville at 9,610, the 2006 population is estimated at 10,086 (see attached). However the city population is not the relevant parameter. California Water Code section 11385(k)(2) defines "small community" as "a publicly owned treatment works serving a population of 10,000 persons or fewer or a rural county." To determine the population of the service area a map of the service area was overlaid on a city map (see attached). The City's area is 3,489 acres and the sewer service area is 5,700 acres or 39 percent larger than the City. A street map was overlaid to determine the population density of the areas outside the City boundaries but inside the service area. The resulting map is attached and it shows that numerous streets exist in the area outside of the City that currently supports residential structures. Therefore the population of the service area is in excess of the 10,000 statutory limit.

cc: Randy Pesses, Public Works Director
City of Placerville
3101 Center Street
Placerville, Ca 95667

Attachment

California Environmental Protection Agency



US Census American Factfinder, 1990, 2000, and 2006 Population Estimate for Placerville, CA

The screenshot shows the American Factfinder interface. The search results for Placerville, California, are displayed. The 2006 population estimate is 10,086. A table shows population trends for 2006, 2000, and 1990. The source is cited as U.S. Census Bureau, 2006 Population Estimates, Census 2000, 1990 Census.

	2006	2000	1990
Population	10,086	9,610	8,355

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2006 Population Estimates, Census 2000, 1990 Census

Map of Placerville Sewer Service Area and the City of Placerville.



Patricia Leary

- 4 -

3/5/2008

Map of Placerville Sewer Service Area, the City of Placerville and Streets.

