**Affidavit in Support of Request for Exclusion from Settlement Agreement in**

 ***In re: Aqueous Film-Forming Foams Products Liability Litigation,* MDL No. 2-18-mn-2873-RMG**

 **and specifically *City of Camden, et al. v. 3M Company*, Case No. 2:23-cv-03147-RMG.**

1. I am the [ title ] of the [name of Public Water System] (“System”). In this role, I have authority over the supervision, management and control of the System, including provision of drinking water to individuals and businesses in [area of service] in California in the United States of America. This includes authority to oversee operations at the System.
2. The proposed Settlement Class, for settlement purposes only, is defined as, “[e]very Active Public Water System in the United States of America that—(a) has one or more Impacted Water Sources as of the Settlement Date (June 22, 2023); or (b) does not have one or more Impacted Water Sources as of the Settlement Date, and (i) is required to test for certain PFAS under UCMR-5, or (ii) serves more than 3,300 people, according to SDWIS.” (Dkt. No. 3620-1 ¶ 5.1.)
3. Check one:

\_\_\_ The System has one or more impacted sources as of the Settlement Date (June 22, 2023) and is a Phase I eligible public water system. It [ is/is not ] listed in Exhibit E of the Settlement Agreement. The impacted water source is [­name of impacted water source]. As such, the System is a member of the above-referenced proposed Settlement Class under the Settlement Class definition subsection (a).

*OR*

\_\_\_ The System does not have one or more impacted water sources, but is required to test for certain PFAS under UCMR-5, and is a Phase II eligible public water system. It [ is/is not ] listed in Exhibit F of the Settlement Agreement. It is a member of the above-referenced proposed Settlement Class under the proposed Settlement Class definition subsection (b)(i).

*OR*

\_\_\_ The System does not have one or more impacted water sources, but serves [ number of customers ] with drinking water and is a Phase II eligible public water system. It [ is/is not ] listed in Exhibit F of the Settlement Agreement. It is a member of the above-referenced proposed Settlement Class under the proposed Settlement Class definition subsection (b)(ii).

1. Check one:

\_\_\_ The System is listed on Exhibit H of the Settlement Agreement as a state-owned public water system that would be excluded from the Settlement Agreement if it could not sue or be sued in its own name. System has the ability to sue and be sued in its own name. As such, it meets none of the exclusion criteria set forth in the Settlement Agreement at Paragraph 5.1

*OR if the public water system is not listed on Exhibit H, use the following:*

\_\_\_ The System is a state-owned system, but it is not listed on Exhibit H of the Settlement Agreement as a state-owned public water system that would be excluded from the Settlement Agreement if it could not sue or be sued in its own name. However, the System has the ability to sue and be sued in its own name. As such, it meets none of the exclusion criteria set forth in the Settlement Agreement at Paragraph 5.1

1. My address is\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. My telephone number is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_and the facsimile number is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. My email is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.
2. The address of System is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. The telephone number is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_and the facsimile number is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ . The email address for the System is .
3. As [ title ] of the System, I have authority to make decisions on legal matters involving it, pursuant to [insert the ordinance, regulation or other legal authority to have standing to make the request]. I am, therefore, legally authorized to request to exclude System as a Settlement Class Member, from the 3M Settlement Agreement. At this time, I am formally requesting that the System be excluded from the 3M Settlement Agreement in the case of *In re: Aqueous Film-Forming Foams Products Liability Litigation,* MDL No. 2-18-mn-2873-RMG. This request relates to *City of Camden, et al. v. 3M Company*, Case No. 2:23-cv-03147-RMG.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

# Executed this \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2023, at \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, California.

 [Affiant’s Signature]\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Print Name of Affiant

On behalf of

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

[Insert System Name]

Its

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

[Insert title]